					Non-con	npliance		
Schedule		Requirement	Evidence sighted	Comments	Risk Assessment	Audit Recommendation	Final response	Timing
3	43	(b) be consistent (as far as is possible) with the	Leard Forest Regional Biodiversity Strategy	It is understood that there has been significant delay in the		It is recommended that Boggabri Coal revise their December	The current Approved Biodiversity Offset Strategy was finalised on 4 August 2017 (Rev E) and submitted to The Department of Planning and Environment on	15-December-2018
		recommendations and objectives of the Leard	Stage, Revised (unapproved) BMP October	finalisation of the Leard Strategy (Stage 2). The reasons	so there is a low a chance of harm	2017 version of the BOS to appropriately incorporate the	16 August 2017. The Office of Environment and Heritage endorsed this BOS (RevE 2017), with subsequent approval by the Department of Planning and	
		Forest Mining Precinct Regional Biodiversity	2017	behind this are complex and are not necessarily the	occurring	recommendations and objectives of Stage 2 of the Leard Forest		
		Strategy;		responsibility of Boggabri Coal. In relation to Condition 50 (b) it is taken that the second part of the time trigger		Mining Precinct Regional Biodiversity Strategy. This should take into account OEH's review (dated 13 April 2018), and also	date in August 2017). As the LFRBS was not available for inclusion in the revised BOS (Rev E), which was finalised 4 August 2017, Boggabri Coal cannot be found to be non-compliant. The approved 2017 BOS (Rev E) is currently under review to incorporate recommendations and objectives of the LFRBS.	
				(within 6 months of approval of Stage 2 of the Leard Forest		any specific site-based factors that justify a modification to the	and reflect to many productives of the Linds.	
				Mining Precinct Regional Biodiversity Strategy) applies in		approach documented in the Leard Regional Strategy. This		
				relation to this audit. While the current (unapproved)		could include a staged approach to certain components,		
				draft version of the Boggabri BMP that has been assessed		including monitoring/performance feedback outcomes.		
				in other parts of this document is the October 2017 draft,				
				late during the audit period Boggabri Coal provided the				
				auditor with a December 2017 version As a result, it is the				
				draft December 2017 BMP that is assessed for compliance				
				or otherwise in relation to this condition. There have been				
				no further iterations of the BMP since then. This version				
				was submitted to OEH for review in December 2017, and				
				OEH's review comments were provided back to Boggabri Coal in April 2018.				
				As noted in Condition 43 (b) above the way in which the				
				BMP and BOS are constructed means that there is fairly				
				significant overlap in how a range of commitments and				
				actions across both the operational and non-operational				
				site and biodiversity offsets are reported. The BOS itself is				
				part of the BMP. Overall, this audit finds that there is a non-	-			
				compliance with Condition 50 (b), as well as with Condition				
				43 (b) (see above). While Boggabri Coal have				
				demonstrated consistency with much of the Leard				
				Strategy, in relation to the BMP and the BOS, there are a				
				number of aspects that are not adequately consistent. For				
				simplicity, the elements that are regarded as being non-				
				compliant against both Condition 43 (b) and Condition 50				
				(b) are documented under Condition 50 (b) below. While the revised draft December 2017 RMR was				
				While the revised draft December 2017 BMP was				
				submitted within 6 months of the Final Stage 2 Leard Strategy, and it has incorporated some elements of the				
				recommendations of the Leard Regional Strategy, there				
				are many recommended monitoring opportunities and				
				completion criteria that have not been incorporated. There				
				is also inadequate application of the SMART principles in				
				the completion criteria of the biodiversity offset areas				
				(BOAs). Sections of the Leard Regional Strategy that have				
				not been incorporated (in part or fully) include:				
1								
3	49	(b) describe how the implementation of the	BMP refers to "Objective 186 - An Integrated	It is recommended that the BMP provide detail on how the	Observation	It is recommended that the BMP be revised to include clear	The approved BMP Section 1.2 describes the interaction approach to the various plans including BMP and RMP and the auditor has identified that section	15-December-2018
3	49	(b) describe how the implementation of the biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated		It is recommended that the BMP provide detail on how the biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the	Observation Low - there is a moderate level of	It is recommended that the BMP be revised to include clear descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of	The approved BMP Section 1.2 describes the interaction approach to the various plans including BMP and RMP and the auditor has identified that section 7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of	15-December-2018
3	49		Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching	I .	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the	Low - there is a moderate level of	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation would fit with the final BOS rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies:	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)".	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3	49	biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation show the SMP rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear	15-December-2018
3		biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site;	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within the Project Boundary and BOAs."	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the content of the BOS.	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site.	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It it is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation would fit with the final BOS rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear descriptions, details and mapping as to how the BOS will be integrated with overall rehabilitation of the site.	
3		biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; (xiv) translocating and/or propagating the	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within the Project Boundary and BOAs." No mention of translocation plan in 2015	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species The 2017 Management Plan (in review) does have a	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the content of the BOS. Observation	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site.	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation would fit with the final BOS rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear descriptions, details and mapping as to how the BOS will be integrated with overall rehabilitation of the site. The Audit is specifically related to the approved BMP (2015) as directed by DP&E and acknowledged by Auditor in Section 2.1 of the audit report. The	
3		biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; (xiv) translocating and/or propagating the threatened flora species Tylophora linearis	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within the Project Boundary and BOAs."	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species The 2017 Management Plan (in review) does have a translocation plan. This translocation plan does not	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the content of the BOS. Observation Should this issue not be resolved the risk rating would be Low - potential	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site.	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation would fit with the final BOS rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear descriptions, details and mapping as to how the BOS will be integrated with overall rehabilitation of the site. The Audit is specifically related to the approved BMP (2015) as directed by DP&E and acknowledged by Auditor in Section 2.1 of the audit report. The condition 49 (e) (xiv) was not relevant at the time of preparing the approved 2015 BMP and as such cannot be found to be non compliant. Boggabri Coal is	
3		biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; (xiv) translocating and/or propagating the threatened flora species Tylophora linearis located within the project disturbance boundary including details of methods and timing of propagation trials and programs and	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within the Project Boundary and BOAs." No mention of translocation plan in 2015 Biodiversity Management Plan. This Biodiversity Management Plan would not have this translocation plan as the need for this plan was added to the project approval	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species The 2017 Management Plan (in review) does have a translocation plan. This translocation plan does not mention assisted natural regeneration. This is a potential	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the content of the BOS. Observation Should this issue not be resolved the risk rating would be Low - potential	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site.	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation would fit with the final BOS rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear descriptions, details and mapping as to how the BOS will be integrated with overall rehabilitation of the site. The Audit is specifically related to the approved BMP (2015) as directed by DP&E and acknowledged by Auditor in Section 2.1 of the audit report. The condition 49 (e) (xiv) was not relevant at the time of preparing the approved 2015 BMP and as such cannot be found to be non compliant. Boggabri Coal is currently revising their BMP and translocation plan following consultation with DPE and OEH to be consistent with condition 49 (e) (xiv). The Auditors non-	
3		biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; (xiv) translocating and/or propagating the threatened flora species Tylophora linearis located within the project disturbance boundary - including details of methods and timing of propagation trials and programs and investigations into assisted natural regeneration in	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within the Project Boundary and BOAs." No mention of translocation plan in 2015 Biodiversity Management Plan. This Biodiversity Management Plan would not have this translocation plan as the need for this plan was added to the project approval	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species The 2017 Management Plan (in review) does have a translocation plan. This translocation plan does not mention assisted natural regeneration. This is a potential non-compliance if this issue is not resolved in the BMP that	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the content of the BOS. Observation Should this issue not be resolved the risk rating would be Low - potential for low environmental consequence, but is likely to occur. There is no translocation plan in place and th	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site.	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation would fit with the final BOS rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear descriptions, details and mapping as to how the BOS will be integrated with overall rehabilitation of the site. The Audit is specifically related to the approved BMP (2015) as directed by DP&E and acknowledged by Auditor in Section 2.1 of the audit report. The condition 49 (e) (xiv) was not relevant at the time of preparing the approved 2015 BMP and as such cannot be found to be non compliant. Boggabri Coal is currently revising their BMP and translocation plan following consultation with DPE and OEH to be consistent with condition 49 (e) (xiv). The Auditors non-compliance due to their opinion on current draft revisions of the translocation plan are not relevant to the audit. A recommendation from the auditor to	
3		biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; (xiv) translocating and/or propagating the threatened flora species Tylophora linearis located within the project disturbance boundary - including details of methods and timing of propagation trials and programs and investigations into assisted natural regeneration in mine site rehabilitation and biodiversity offset	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within the Project Boundary and BOAs." No mention of translocation plan in 2015 Biodiversity Management Plan. This Biodiversity Management Plan would not have this translocation plan as the need for this plan was added to the project approval	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species The 2017 Management Plan (in review) does have a translocation plan. This translocation plan does not mention assisted natural regeneration. This is a potential non-compliance if this issue is not resolved in the BMP that	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the content of the BOS. Observation Should this issue not be resolved the risk rating would be Low - potential for low environmental consequence, but is likely to occur. There is no translocation plan in place and the eplan is under review does not	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site.	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation would fit with the final BOS rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear descriptions, details and mapping as to how the BOS will be integrated with overall rehabilitation of the site. The Audit is specifically related to the approved BMP (2015) as directed by DP&E and acknowledged by Auditor in Section 2.1 of the audit report. The condition 49 (e) (xiv) was not relevant at the time of preparing the approved 2015 BMP and as such cannot be found to be non compliant. Boggabri Coal is currently revising their BMP and translocation plan following consultation with DPE and OEH to be consistent with condition 49 (e) (xiv). The Auditors non-compliance due to their opinion on current draft revisions of the translocation plan are not relevant to the audit. A recommendation from the auditor to	
3		biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; (xiv) translocating and/or propagating the threatened flora species Tylophora linearis located within the project disturbance boundary - including details of methods and timing of propagation trials and programs and investigations into assisted natural regeneration in	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within the Project Boundary and BOAs." No mention of translocation plan in 2015 Biodiversity Management Plan. This Biodiversity Management Plan would not have this translocation plan as the need for this plan was added to the project approval	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species The 2017 Management Plan (in review) does have a translocation plan. This translocation plan does not mention assisted natural regeneration. This is a potential non-compliance if this issue is not resolved in the BMP that	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the content of the BOS. Observation Should this issue not be resolved the risk rating would be Low - potential for low environmental consequence, but is likely to occur. There is no translocation plan in place and the plan is under review does not address assisted natural	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site.	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation would fit with the final BOS rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear descriptions, details and mapping as to how the BOS will be integrated with overall rehabilitation of the site. The Audit is specifically related to the approved BMP (2015) as directed by DP&E and acknowledged by Auditor in Section 2.1 of the audit report. The condition 49 (e) (xiv) was not relevant at the time of preparing the approved 2015 BMP and as such cannot be found to be non compliant. Boggabri Coal is currently revising their BMP and translocation plan following consultation with DPE and OEH to be consistent with condition 49 (e) (xiv). The Auditors non-compliance due to their opinion on current draft revisions of the translocation plan are not relevant to the audit. A recommendation from the auditor to	
3		biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; (xiv) translocating and/or propagating the threatened flora species Tylophora linearis located within the project disturbance boundary - including details of methods and timing of propagation trials and programs and investigations into assisted natural regeneration in mine site rehabilitation and biodiversity offset	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within the Project Boundary and BOAs." No mention of translocation plan in 2015 Biodiversity Management Plan. This Biodiversity Management Plan would not have this translocation plan as the need for this plan was added to the project approval	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species The 2017 Management Plan (in review) does have a translocation plan. This translocation plan does not mention assisted natural regeneration. This is a potential non-compliance if this issue is not resolved in the BMP that	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the content of the BOS. Observation Should this issue not be resolved the risk rating would be Low - potential for low environmental consequence, but is likely to occur. There is no translocation plan in place and the eplan is under review does not address assisted natural regeneration. Assited regeneration is	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site.	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation would fit with the final BOS rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear descriptions, details and mapping as to how the BOS will be integrated with overall rehabilitation of the site. The Audit is specifically related to the approved BMP (2015) as directed by DP&E and acknowledged by Auditor in Section 2.1 of the audit report. The condition 49 (e) (xiv) was not relevant at the time of preparing the approved 2015 BMP and as such cannot be found to be non compliant. Boggabri Coal is currently revising their BMP and translocation plan following consultation with DPE and OEH to be consistent with condition 49 (e) (xiv). The Auditors non-compliance due to their opinion on current draft revisions of the translocation plan are not relevant to the audit. A recommendation from the auditor to	
3		biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; (xiv) translocating and/or propagating the threatened flora species Tylophora linearis located within the project disturbance boundary - including details of methods and timing of propagation trials and programs and investigations into assisted natural regeneration in mine site rehabilitation and biodiversity offset	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within the Project Boundary and BOAs." No mention of translocation plan in 2015 Biodiversity Management Plan. This Biodiversity Management Plan would not have this translocation plan as the need for this plan was added to the project approval	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species The 2017 Management Plan (in review) does have a translocation plan. This translocation plan does not mention assisted natural regeneration. This is a potential non-compliance if this issue is not resolved in the BMP that	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the content of the BOS. Observation Should this issue not be resolved the risk rating would be Low - potential for low environmental consequence, but is likely to occur. There is no translocation plan in place and the plan is under review does not address assisted natural regeneration. Assited regeneration is highly important for the species to	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site.	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation would fit with the final BOS rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear descriptions, details and mapping as to how the BOS will be integrated with overall rehabilitation of the site. The Audit is specifically related to the approved BMP (2015) as directed by DP&E and acknowledged by Auditor in Section 2.1 of the audit report. The condition 49 (e) (xiv) was not relevant at the time of preparing the approved 2015 BMP and as such cannot be found to be non compliant. Boggabri Coal is currently revising their BMP and translocation plan following consultation with DPE and OEH to be consistent with condition 49 (e) (xiv). The Auditors non-compliance due to their opinion on current draft revisions of the translocation plan are not relevant to the audit. A recommendation from the auditor to	
3		biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; (xiv) translocating and/or propagating the threatened flora species Tylophora linearis located within the project disturbance boundary - including details of methods and timing of propagation trials and programs and investigations into assisted natural regeneration in mine site rehabilitation and biodiversity offset	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within the Project Boundary and BOAs." No mention of translocation plan in 2015 Biodiversity Management Plan. This Biodiversity Management Plan would not have this translocation plan as the need for this plan was added to the project approval	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species The 2017 Management Plan (in review) does have a translocation plan. This translocation plan does not mention assisted natural regeneration. This is a potential non-compliance if this issue is not resolved in the BMP that	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the content of the BOS. Observation Should this issue not be resolved the risk rating would be Low - potential for low environmental consequence, but is likely to occur. There is no translocation plan in place and the eplan is under review does not address assisted natural regeneration. Assited regeneration is	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site.	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation would fit with the final BOS rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear descriptions, details and mapping as to how the BOS will be integrated with overall rehabilitation of the site. The Audit is specifically related to the approved BMP (2015) as directed by DP&E and acknowledged by Auditor in Section 2.1 of the audit report. The condition 49 (e) (xiv) was not relevant at the time of preparing the approved 2015 BMP and as such cannot be found to be non compliant. Boggabri Coal is currently revising their BMP and translocation plan following consultation with DPE and OEH to be consistent with condition 49 (e) (xiv). The Auditors non-compliance due to their opinion on current draft revisions of the translocation plan are not relevant to the audit. A recommendation from the auditor to	
3		biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; (xiv) translocating and/or propagating the threatened flora species Tylophora linearis located within the project disturbance boundary - including details of methods and timing of propagation trials and programs and investigations into assisted natural regeneration in mine site rehabilitation and biodiversity offset	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within the Project Boundary and BOAs." No mention of translocation plan in 2015 Biodiversity Management Plan. This Biodiversity Management Plan would not have this translocation plan as the need for this plan was added to the project approval	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species The 2017 Management Plan (in review) does have a translocation plan. This translocation plan does not mention assisted natural regeneration. This is a potential non-compliance if this issue is not resolved in the BMP that	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the content of the BOS. Observation Should this issue not be resolved the risk rating would be Low - potential for low environmental consequence, but is likely to occur. There is no translocation plan in place and the plan is under review does not address assisted natural regeneration. Assited regeneration is highly important for the species to	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site.	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation would fit with the final BOS rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear descriptions, details and mapping as to how the BOS will be integrated with overall rehabilitation of the site. The Audit is specifically related to the approved BMP (2015) as directed by DP&E and acknowledged by Auditor in Section 2.1 of the audit report. The condition 49 (e) (xiv) was not relevant at the time of preparing the approved 2015 BMP and as such cannot be found to be non compliant. Boggabri Coal is currently revising their BMP and translocation plan following consultation with DPE and OEH to be consistent with condition 49 (e) (xiv). The Auditors non-compliance due to their opinion on current draft revisions of the translocation plan are not relevant to the audit. A recommendation from the auditor to	
3		biodiversity offset strategy would be intregrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; (xiv) translocating and/or propagating the threatened flora species Tylophora linearis located within the project disturbance boundary - including details of methods and timing of propagation trials and programs and investigations into assisted natural regeneration in mine site rehabilitation and biodiversity offset	Approach to Biodiversity Management." The aim of this is to "achieve the overarching biodversity management objectives for the Project through the integration of the RMP and BMP." To achieve this the document lists the following management strategies: a) "the management measures provided in the RMP and BMP consistent and allow an integrated approach to biodiversity management"; and b) "where feasible develop strategies to cover both the Project Boundary and BOAs (e.g. the weed and pest management strategy)". It provides the following performance criteria: - "management measures are planned and implemented consistently within the rehabilitation sites, surrounding areas within the Project Boundary and BOAs." No mention of translocation plan in 2015 Biodiversity Management Plan. This Biodiversity Management Plan would not have this translocation plan as the need for this plan was added to the project approval	biodiversity offset strategy will be consistent with the overall rehabilitation of the site, including figures showing the final proposed revegetated BOAs, site revegetation/retained vegetation and mine rehabilitation vegetation communities, and suitable habitat for threatened fauna species The 2017 Management Plan (in review) does have a translocation plan. This translocation plan does not mention assisted natural regeneration. This is a potential non-compliance if this issue is not resolved in the BMP that	Low - there is a moderate level of impact if the overall BOS is not integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site. The overall risk is considered low due to the content of the BOS. Observation Should this issue not be resolved the risk rating would be Low - potential for low environmental consequence, but is likely to occur. There is no translocation plan in place and the plan is under review does not address assisted natural regeneration. Assited regeneration is highly important for the species to	descriptions and appropriate details (including mapping) of how the Biodiversity Offset Strategy will be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site.	7.18 further outlines this condition as an objective with management strategies and performance measure. The approved BMP clearly show the areas of proposed rehabilitation subject to the RMP and areas of Biodiversity offset areas proposed for planting. It is considered that the auditor recommendation to specially include mapping demonstrating how the RMP rehabilitation would fit with the final BOS rehabilitation is a administrative recommendation rather than non compliance. The approved BMP is currently being revised following consultation with DP&E and OEH. The BMP will be updated to provide clear descriptions, details and mapping as to how the BOS will be integrated with overall rehabilitation of the site. The Audit is specifically related to the approved BMP (2015) as directed by DP&E and acknowledged by Auditor in Section 2.1 of the audit report. The condition 49 (e) (xiv) was not relevant at the time of preparing the approved 2015 BMP and as such cannot be found to be non compliant. Boggabri Coal is currently revising their BMP and translocation plan following consultation with DPE and OEH to be consistent with condition 49 (e) (xiv). The Auditors non-compliance due to their opinion on current draft revisions of the translocation plan are not relevant to the audit. A recommendation from the auditor to	

A district was a control and a		Torrest to the first	I	In				
Authorized or of the special of the special control of the special c	3 50				Low' - it is regarded that there is	It is recommended that Boggabri Coal revises its draft	Condition 50 of the Project approval states that The Proponent shall revise the Biodiversity Management Plan within 30 months of the date of this approval,	15-December-2018
would not seem to a more that would not regarded or former than the control of th					The state of the s			
Secretary and the company of the com		Strategy;						
As a part of the College of the Coll					to occur.			
In the property of the control space of Control States (Control States) and the contro								
well as the first and the common from the comm			released after the BMPS approval.					
And determined to this part of the first Andread control of the first and the control of th								
whether and the common local fields and the common local f								
And the hard and purpose of the contribution o								
with a discovered for our process in reality and of the company of								
which destants 2012 DEF on the present the present of the present								
w districts read and most analysis with the section of the section						including monitoring/performance feedback outcomes.		
And desire interest and any soul for common and believes and common and soul and common and common and soul and common and				· ·				
Section of the control of the contro								
Efficience common to warp contact acts to dispose of the contact of the product of the contact of the product of the contact o				1				
The company of the co								
Annual or conductor with pile accords to an or method by a control by								
and the control of th								
specification and in the loans are performations and an activity to the control of the control o								
services come for the mace continued of the mace continued and the mace of the services and provided and the services are provided as a fact that the services a				-				
the set for the control primate are required. The SEG DEAL data because and control to the primate are all control to the set of the control to the control to the set of the control to t								
and the state of t								
conspice or efficient for the section of the sectio								
all gold cock devices which is applicated to all the control of the company of the control of th							areas.	
demonstration of control for the control for t								
bismaps, in existion to the other and on existing design design and in existing and interest to the control of								
supplied the designation that recognition controlled for page 200 and								
sundays, the advances that are regarded on being oper- cusposition again, and conditions of the condition of the Market the reduced and Economic 2012 Market was reduced and Economic								
complete agent benin Condection 30 (live of condection 50 (live with condection 10 (live with condection 10 (live of condection 10 (live								
(i) are a decumental under the contribution of (ii) (ii) below. While they trained and December 2015 1 190 and								
While the revised of article Controller 2017 BMP views administration in controller of the middle tables and administration of the controller of the middle and administration of the controller								
Sometime in the internation of the final of Super 2 reads Soutines, and the international content of the art many recommended features in the SAMP project institute and comprises or their thei								
Joseph and the homeopromoted some elements of the commendations of the size of the commendation of the commendatio								
excommendations or the based Regional Society, these are even warmented in the society approximation and the society and the s								
are many recommended monitoring accordancies and completions cross that the term better except order. If there except order is the completion orders and the landering officed seens (IROA). Secretary of the case (IROA) accepts of the IROA). A case (IROA) accepts of the IROA) accepts of the case (IROA) accepts of the IROA) accepts of the IROA). A case (IROA) accepts of the IROA) accepts of the IROA) accepts of the IROA) accepts of the IROA) accepts of the IROA). A case of the IROA accepts of the IROA) accepts of the IROA accepts of the IROA) accepts of the IRO								
completion content in the flow not been incorporated. There is also introduced assignation and the MAMP grinnings in a MAMP, and the MAMP grinnings in the second composed and the part of high private and the completion of the co								
is also inadequate application or the SMATA principacie in the competition critical for the clared Regional Streeting that have a property and the competition of the clared Regional Streeting that have a streeting inside-territy (other Authority) develoamiles (stable 2.2 of streeting): 1.3 National regional streeting inside the streeting of th								
the comparison crisis of the biolium-varied mass (BCAL), Sections of the card figured by those to do be not incorporated (in part of while) include: Variety (in the biolium-variety) 1.3 Natural regelier clients. Autumn/party monitoring events are not included (with biolium-variety) 1.3 Natural regelier clients. Autumn/party monitoring events are not included (with biolium-variety) 1.5 Natural regelier clients. Autumn/party monitoring events are not included (with cauge) attained; 1.5 Natural regelier clients. Autumn/party monitoring the less region of continuous events are not included (with a client party). 1.5 Natural regelier clients. Autumn/party monitoring the less region of continuous events. 1.6 Natural regelier clients. 1.7 Natural regelier clients. Autumn/party monitoring the less region of continuous events. 1.8 Natural regelier clients. 1.9 Natural regelier clients. 1.1 Natural regelier clients. 1.2 Natural regelier clients. 1.2 Natural regelier clients. 1.3 Natural regelier clients. 1.4 Natural regelier clients. 1.5 Natural regelier clients. 1.6 Natural regelier clients. 1.6 Natural regelier clients. 1.6 Natural regelier clients. 1.7 Natural regelier clients. 1.8 Natural regelier clients. 1.8 Natural regelier clients. 1.9 Natural regelier clients. 1.0 Natural regelier clients. 1.1 Natural regelier clients. 1.1 Natural regelier clients. 1.2 Natural regelier clients. 1.3 Natural regelier clients. 1.4 Natural regelier clients. 1.4 Natural regelier clients. 1.5 Natural regelier clients. 1.6 Natural regelier clients. 1.7 Natural regelier clients. 1.8 Natural regelier clients. 1.9 Natural regelier clients. 1.1 Natural regelier clients. 1.1 Natural regelier clients. 1.2 Natural regelier clients. 1.3 Natural regelier clients. 1.4 Natural regelier clients. 1.5 Natural regelier clients. 1.6 Natural regelier clients. 1.7 Natural regelier clients. 1.8 Natural regelier clients. 1.9 Natural regelier clients. 1.1 Natural regelier clients. 1.1 Natural regelier clients.								
(BIOLS). Securing of the Learn Regional distrates when we not been interpreted to part or failily include: Strategic Bookershy Wifest Monitoring Opportunities 1.1 Sharper Ingenior. Authors (April 1) include: 1.2 Sharper Ingenior. Authors (April 1) include: 1.3 Sharper Ingenior. Authors (April 1) include: 1.4 Sharper Ingenior. Authors (April 1) include: 1.5 Sharper Ingenior. Authors (April 1) include: 1.6 Sharper Ingenior. Authors (April 1) include: 1.7 Sharper Ingenior. Authors (April 1) include: 1.8 Sharper Ingenior. Authors (April 1) include: 1.8 Sharper Ingenior. Authors (April 1) include: 1.9 Sharper Ingenior. Au								
not been incorporated lips part or fully) include: Strategic Biolometry (Order Monitoring Organization (1994) 1 1 to a contrast and extra contrast of the strategy) 2 1 Shallow of Market Frontzian (1994) The case of shallow of Market Frontzian (1994) The case of shallow of Market Frontzian (1994) The case of shallow of the strategy of Market Frontzian (1994) The case of shallow of the case of the part hocket on manuscrement (in instance to the lared Strategy regaining the number of shallows at the office is the be at least an army as allows number of alimed follows that or another of the strategy of t								
Similar Bioliferia (Boulevisty) Other Monitoring (poportunities) (126) 22 of strateging): (13 Martiard regenerations, Automotiving and monitoring developes across 1 and monitoring for strateging): (24 Shaper of hasbitat responsess: no mention of monitoring the strateging): (25 Shaper of hasbitat responsess: no mention of monitoring the strateging): (26 Shaper of hasbitat responsess: no mention of monitoring the strateging of hasbitat responsess: no mention of monitoring the strateging of hasbitat responsess: no mention of monitoring the strateging of hasbitat automotive to the strateging of hasbitat automotive automotive to the strateging of hasbitat automotive to the strategi								
(table 2.2 of artrategy): 1.1 Natural regeneration. Automorphysing monitoring events are not included (this is the same for action 1.3 off the startegy). 2.1 Salvan's first control of a monitoring the startegy of the startegy requiring the number of hollows at the office startegy requiring the number of hollows at the office startegy requiring the number of hollows at the office startegy requiring the number of hollows and an anabor of utilized hollows that are startegy and the number of hollows and an anabor of utilized hollows that are startegy of the startegy of								
1.1 Natural regeneration. An Allemnifysing monothoring events are not included (this is the strategy). 2.2 Salvage of labilitat resourcers in membro of monitoring the salvage of salvaged habilitat. 3.2 International control of the salvage of salvaged habilitat. 4.3 International control of the salvaged salvaged habilitat. 5.4 International control of the salvaged salvaged habilitat. 6.5 International control of the salvaged salvaged habilitat. 6.6 International control of the salvaged salvaged habilitat. 6.7 International control of the salvaged salvaged habilitat. 6.7 International control of the salvaged salvaged requiring the manufacture of salvaged salvaged requiring the manufacture of salvaged salvaged requiring the salvaged								
events are not included (this is the same for section 1.3 of the strategy). 2.1 Salvage of habitat resources; no mention of monitoring the usage of shaused path which a strategy that the strategy of the st								
the usage of habitat resources no mention of monitoring the usage of supplied habitat. 2.2 Habitat augmentation and rest box installation: the BM does not equilation but to be presented at the 1 nest box per hectare measurement (in relation to the Learn's Strategy requiring the number of hobits) to be at least of the strategy of the strategy in the control of the strategy of the								
the usage of habitat resources no mention of monitoring the usage of supplied habitat. 2.2 Habitat augmentation and rest box installation: the BM does not equilation but to be presented at the 1 nest box per hectare measurement (in relation to the Learn's Strategy requiring the number of hobits) to be at least of the strategy of the strategy in the control of the strategy of the				· ·				
2.2 Habitat applientation and riest box installation; the BMP does not explain those the box per hectare measurement (in relation to the Leard Strategy requiring the number of box at the offest site to be at least as many as those number of utilized hollows that are removed from the implicit the follows that are removed from the implicit the follows that are removed from the implicit the follows can be natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it has not been also an accordance of the natural hollows and for rest boxes; it has not been also and the satisfact and the								
2.2 Habitat applientation and riest box installation; the BMP does not explain those the box per hectare measurement (in relation to the Leard Strategy requiring the number of box at the offest site to be at least as many as those number of utilized hollows that are removed from the implicit the follows that are removed from the implicit the follows that are removed from the implicit the follows can be natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it have it sation to natural hollows and for rest boxes; it has not been also an accordance of the natural hollows and for rest boxes; it has not been also and the satisfact and the				_				
BMM does not explain how it arrived at the 1 nest box per hectare measurement of incidation to the Lead's Strategy requiring the number of hollows at the offset site to be at least as many as themper of stillage hollows that are removed from the impact site (the offset hollows that are removed from the impact site (the offset hollows that are removed from the impact site (the offset hollows and he natural hollows and/or nest boxes). There is also no mention of monitoring for signs of use by fusion. 5.1 Connected standards and broader regional corridors: no meetin of radio tracking, bird banding, or remote camera use in monitoria use of connected indiscapes. Strategies Stendowship Verformance Measures and remove the strategies of the strat								
hectare measurement (in relation to the Leard Strategy requiring the number of hollows at ned rests site to be at least as many as those number for utilized hollows that are removed from the impact site (the offsets hollows can be natural hollows and/or nest boxes). There is also no ment of morning for signs or the by fauna. 5.1 Cometod for allor toxing, bird bandling, or remote camer us to monitor fauna use of connected andscapes and two adverses, and and an adverse camer us to monitor fauna use of connected sindscapes. Strategic flooring for strategic focus Area 1 have not been adopted in the completion criteria (table 2.3 of strategy): Completion criteria for strategic focus installation: completion criteria in the BMP only asks for provision of nests town installation: completion criteria in the BMP only asks for provision of nests town in the structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest structures.								
least as many as those number of utilised hollows that are removed members of the first hollows and/or nest boxes). There is also no matural hollows and/or nest boxes). There is also no mention of monitoring for signs of use by fauna. 5.1 Connected andscapes and twoater regional corridors: no mention of radio tracking, bird banding, or remote camera use to monitor fauna use of connected andscapes. Strategic Biodiversity Performance Measures and Preliminary Completion Criteria failable 2.3 of strategy): Completion criteria failable 2.3 of strategy): Completion criteria for Strategic Foxus Area 1 have not been depend in the completion criteria richies and the BOAs. 2.1 Habitat augmentation and nest box installation: conceptation and sets box installation: conceptation and sets box installation: conceptation in the MPM donly asks for provision of nest box installation - it does not require fauna to actually use the structure. Box of the enst box smitted to be utilized by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: 8MP has no completion criteria for the success of any								
removed from the impact site (the offset hollows and) no rest base). There is also no mention of monitoring for signs of use by fauna. 5.1 Connected undiscapes and broader regional corridors: no mention of radio tracking, bird bandring, or remote camera use to monitor fauna use of connected undiscapes. Strategic Biodiversity Performance Measures and Preliminary Completion Criteria (table 2.3 of strategy): Completion criteria for Strategy is consorted to the completion criteria for Strategy is consorted to the completion criteria of the BOAs. 2.1 Habitat augmentation and nest box installation: completion criteria in the BMP only asks for provision of nest box installation – it does not require fauna to actually use the structures. The Leard Strategy requires 90% of the nest boxes installed to the utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP Ans an own provision of nest box installation is contracted for the completion criteria for the success of any				requiring the number of hollows at the offset site to be at				
natural hollows and/or nest boxes). There is also no mention of monitoring for signs of use by fauna. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: no mention of radio tracking, bird banding, or remote camera use to monitor farians use of connected landscapes. Strategic Biodiversity Performance Measures and Preliminary Completion Criteria (table 2.5 of strategy): Completion criteria for the Conferion (table 2.5 of strategy): Completion criteria for the BOAs. 2.1 Habitat augmentation and nest box installation: completion criteria for the BMOs. 2.1 Habitat augmentation and nest box installation of nest box installation—it does not require fauna to actually use the structures. The Land Strategy requires 80% of the nest boxs installation be utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMM has no completion criteria for the success of any				least as many as those number of utilised hollows that are				
mention of monitoring for signs of use by fauna. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: no mention of radio tracking, bird banding, or remote camera use to monitor fauna use of connected landscapes. Strategic Bioliversity Performance Measures and Preliminary Completion criteria (table 2.3 of strategy): Completion criteria for Strategic Focus Area 1 have not been adopted in the completion criteria of the BOAs. 2.1 Habitat augmentation and nest box installation: completion criteria in the MBM ponly asks for provision of nest box installation—it does not require fauna to actually use the structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest boxes installed to be utilised by native species. 3.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMM has no completion criteria or the success of any				removed from the impact site (the offset hollows can be				
5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: no mention of radio tracking, bird banding, or remote camera us to monitor fauna use of connected landscapes. Strategic Bioliversity Performance Measures and Preliminary Completion Criteria (table 2.3 of strategy): Completion criteria (table 2.3 of strategy): Completion criteria for Strategic Focus Area 1 have not been adopted in the completion criteria of the BOAs. 2.1 Habitat augmentation and nest box installation: completion criteria in the BMP only asks for provision of nest box installation –it does not require fauna to actually use the structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest boxes installed to be utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any				natural hollows and/or nest boxes). There is also no				
no mention of radio tracking, bird banding, or remote camera use to monitor fauna use of connected landscapes. Strategic Biodiversity Performance Measures and Preliminary Completion Criteria (table 2.3 of strategy): Completion criteria for Strategic Focus Area I have not been adopted in the completion criteria or that augmentation and nest box installation: completion criteria in the BMP only asks for provision of nest box installation—it does not require fauna to actually use the structures. The Learned Strategy requires 80% of the nest boxes installed to be utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any				mention of monitoring for signs of use by fauna.				
camera use to monitor fauna use of connected landscapes. Strategic Biodiversity Performance Measures and Preliminary Completion Criteria (stable 2.3 of strategy): Completion criteria for Strategic Focus Area 1 have not been adopted in the completion criteria of the BOAs. 2.1 Habitat augmentation and nest box installation: completion criteria in the BMP only asks for provision of nest box installation – it does not require fauna to actually use the structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest boxes installed to be utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any				5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors:				
Strategic Biodiversity Performance Measures and Preliminary Completion Criteria (table 2.3 of strategy): Completion criteria for Strategic Focus Area 1 have not been adopted in the completion criteria of the BOAs. 2.1 Habitat augmentation and nest box installation: completion criteria in the BMP only asks for provision of nest box installation – it does not require fauna to actually use the structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest boxes installed to be utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any								
Preliminary Completion Criteria (table 2.3 of strategy): Completion criteria for Strategy: Completion criteria for Strategy: Deen adopted in the completion criteria of the BOAS. 2.1 Habitat augmentation and nest box installation: Completion criteria in the BMP only asks for provision of nest box installation - it does not require faunat to actually use the structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest boxes installed to be utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any				camera use to monitor fauna use of connected landscapes.				
Completion criteria for Strategic Focus Area 1 have not been adopted in the completion criteria of the BOAs. 2.1 Habitat augmentation and nest box installation: completion criteria in the BMP only asks for provision of nest box installation – it does not require fauna to actually use the structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest boxes installed to be utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any				,				
been adopted in the completion criteria of the BOAs. 2.1 Habitat augmentation and nest box installation: completion criteria in the BMP only asks for provision of nest box installation – it does not require fauna to actually use the structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest boxes installed to be utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any								
2.1 Habitat augmentation and nest box installation: completion criteria in the BMP only asks for provision of nest box installation – it does not require fauna to actually use the structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest boxes installed to be utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any								
completion criteria in the BMP only asks for provision of nest box installation – it does not require fauna to actually use the structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest boxes installed to be utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any								
nest box installation – it does not require fauna to actually use the structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest boxes installed to be utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any				· ·				
use the structures. The Leard Strategy requires 80% of the nest boxes installed to be utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any								
nest boxes installed to be utilised by native species. 5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any								
5.1 Connected landscapes and broader regional corridors: BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any								
BMP has no completion criteria for the success of any				,				
				nabitat corrido.				

	1	T	I					
3	54	The Proponent shall prepare a Eucalyptus Forestry Plantation Offset Strategy to the satisfaction of Forests NSW, within 12 months of the date of this approval. The Forestry Plantation Offset Strategy shall provide at least a minimum 168 ha plantation area (as committed in the EA), or alternative as agreed by Forests NSW. The Strategy shall identify proposed funding and management arrangements for the development and maintenance of the plantation offset. If there is a dispute over these requirements, either party may refer it to the Secretary for resolution, whose decision shall be final.	to Forests NSW. It was rejected by Forests NSW. Have requested rejection letter. Have sighted email from Dr Jan Green submitting	Rejection Letter from Forestry NSW and a brief on what Boggabri Coal plan to do due to this rejection	Low - As the land that is to be used as a forestry development, a delay in this strategy is seen to have low impact on the environment	It is recommended that Boggabri Coal seeks to continue to work with Forestry NSW to develop a Plantation Offset Strategy that both parties agree is mutually	NSW Forest has provided a written response on the Forestry Planation Strategy on the 11th of May. Boggabri Coal will continue to work with FCNSW to reach an agreement with regards to this condition.	15-December-2018
Managomo	nt Plans (Audii	Section 2 El						
Wanageme	iit i iulis (Auuli	3.37						
3	53	(b) Assess the performance of the revegetation in the rehabilitation area completed to date against the completion criteria in the Rehabilitation Management Plan	Rehabilitation Monitoring Report (May 2017) addressing the 2016 monitoring year		Not applicable	for all 10 of the biometric values include in the completion	Due to the relatively young age-cohort (earliest planting approaching 10 years old) of the existing mine rehabilitation area, annual mine rehabilitation area reporting has focused on key biological variables measured whilst the rehab establishes; such as species richness for native plants, dirurnal birds, micro-bats and insects. Nevertheless, this recommendation is acknowledged and acceptable, and future monitoring reports will incorporate all biometric values included in the completion criteria for ecosystem establishment, ecosystem development and functioning regional wildlife corridor in Appendix A of the Rehabilitiaton Management Plan (October 2015).	28-February-2019
						Further to the above point, to provide useful information on the likely long-term self-sustainability of the rehabilitated native ecosystem areas, it would be valuable to collect more detailed and widespread information on regeneration/recruitment of plant species. This would include information on second and third generation individuals (where such knowledge is practically attainable, such as for trees) and presence of reproductive structures. This will provide useful guidance in future years on any further measures that might be required to assist the long-term persistence of the revegetated vegetation and habitats.		28-February-2019.
						Undertaking trails of certain management approaches would be of value, again to provide valuable information on the likely long-term suitability of the rehabilitated areas fro threatened species and self-sustainability. For example, trailling a number of areas for eucalypt thinning (where tree canopies are crowded and do not appear to naturally thin after 10 years) to ascertain if native groundcover and second and third generation trees and shrubs can be stimulated. This would need to be undertaken in careful consideration of the risk of weed invasion, particularly Rhodes Grass. Furthermore, trialling the establishment of nest boxes placed on poles amongst established regeneration, to encourage further use of the rehabilitation by micro-bats, birds and arboreal mammals.	be considered further by Boggbari Coal.	15-December-2019
3	53	(c) Assess the performance of management and restoration in the off-site Biodiversity Offset Sterategy areas completed to date against the completion criteria in the Biodiversity Management Plan	Biodiversity Management Plan (approved version January 2015). Section - Implementation of the Biodiversity Offset Strategy, Setion 9 of the BMP details monitoring, inspection and reporting program and includes Table 9.7 which document the completion criteria for biodiversity management within BOAs.		Not applicable	for all 10 of the biometric values included in the completion criteria. Currently actual data is not reported for hollows, logs, regeneration and exotic plant cover	During consultation and development of the Revised Biodiversity Offset Strategy (in accordance with Project approval condition 43) an additional five biodiversity offset properties were incorporated into the Biodiversity Offset Area by 2015. 2015 was the first year where all 10 biodiversity offset properties were secured and available for monitoring in totality. Consequenly, the 2015 annual biodiversity monitoring event was taken as a baseline to provide a more ecologically and statistically robust ecological monitoring program for future comparison. In addition, due to the infancy of management, restoration and improvement of biodiversity values across the offset areas, annual biodiversity offset area monitoring reports have focused on describing key biological variables measured, whilst habitat restoration areas are planted and begin to establish. To date, restoration activites have been completed on the Wirrilah BOA (planted 2017), and two areas of the Namoi BOA (planted late 2016 and 2017). It is acknowledged that value would be derived from future monitoring reports that present data for biometric values that are described in the BOA completion criteria.	28-February-2019
						Trialling different forms of planting other than strip-based tubestock is encouraged. It is understood that there must be careful balance between meeting restoration aobjectives and financial cost. However, in some areas it is recommended that patch planting is trialled, where the prospects for in-filling between patches through natural generation/recruitment are high.	Boggabri Coal will review the overall approach and if possible introduce different forms of tube stock planting such as clusters or clump plantings in small areas. If a new approach is taken on then it is to be reviewed to see if any benefit will be provided by changing the approach in small discrete areas	15-July-2019
Recommen	gations for Co	tinual Improvement						
						Trial some thinning of dense eucalypts in woodland rehabilitation to stimulate growth of those remaining and also to stimulate general flora species diversity and establishment.	This recommendation is acknowledged and acceptable. Please see response in Row 11, Cell H.	15-December-2019
						Establish nest boxes (targeting those fauna species that are the subject of Condition 45) in the rehabilitaiton area to provide more structural and habitat diversity to encourage occupation by threatened fauna species.	Boggabri Coal will aim to introduce nest boxes in the rehabilitation area	15-July-2019

	1	Boggabri Coal will review the overall approach and if possible introduce different forms of tube stock planting such as clusters or clump plantings in small areas	
	Trial some 'clump' or 'pocket' plantings in the biodiversity offset areas. Rather than broadscale planting in rows, seek to introduce some habitat heterogeneity through the establishment of clumps with unplanted spaces in between that are available for eucalypt etc recruitment from the clumps.		15-July-2019
	Dedicate small but appreciable portions of BOAs and the rehabilitation area to these trials and undertake appropriate monitoring over several years to detect if any positive differences result.		
	Rehabilitation and restoration efforts would be improved if there was a chain of custody for the movement of habitat and soil stockpiles. This would involve recording the removal location, date and condition of the salvaged material; the area the salvaged material is eventually placed. The TARP in the Rehabilitation Management Plan should be adapted an dincluded into the BMP. Stage 2 of the Leard Forest Regional Strategy includes a TARP that would be easily adapted for Boggabri Coal.	Boggabri Coal to review the TRAP in Stage 2 of the Leard Forest Regional Strategy to see if it can assist in providing benefit to the overall program	15-December-2018
	As stated in Section 3.4, Stage 2 of the Leard Forest Regional Strategy has not been implemented fully. Many aspects of the BMP are not in line with Stage 2 of the strategy; completion criteria ire broad, and hard to measure and without reasonable timeframes; performance criteria are simple; and overall it does not follow SMART principles. It is recommended that Boggabri Coal incorporate tables 2.1 - 2.3 of Stage 2 of the regional strategy into Section 6 and 7 of the BMP.		15-December-2018
	It is recommended that broad scale mapping of how the BOA restoration areas and the project rehabilitiaton areas fit together is created. This would optimally include differing vegetation communities being established and the key habitat corridors being produced.	This recommendation is acknowledged and acceptable. Please see response in Row 4, Cell H.	15-December-2018

Leard Forest Regional Biodiversity Strategy Stage 2 - Strategy Report (August 2017)

Boggabri Coal Mine Biodiversity Offset Strategy (4 August 2017); sent to DP&E 16 August 2017, endorsed by OEH & approved by DP&E on 1 September 2017