

7 October 2020

Ref: 171356/29094

Muswellbrook Coal Company PO Box 123 Muswellbrook NSW 2333

RE: SEPTEMBER 2020 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS - MUSWELLBROOK COAL MINE

This letter report presents the results of noise compliance monitoring, commencing at about 10.15 pm on Thursday 24th of September, 2020, for the Muswellbrook Coal Company (MCC) mine at Muscle Creek Road, Muswellbrook. The monitoring was undertaken as per the requirements of D.A. 205/2002 and detailed in the Noise Management Plan (NMP) for the mine.

Attended Noise Monitoring Program

Noise monitoring was undertaken in accordance with the NMP as summarised below.

All attended monitoring and equipment maintenance and calibration is conducted in accordance with the Noise Policy for Industry (NPI) and AS1055 – Acoustics, Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise.

Attended noise monitoring is undertaken monthly by an independent noise consultant. Each attended noise survey will be conducted during night periods only. If it is identified during the noise monitoring that the mining noise from the operation is exceeding the criteria, MCC will be notified and the operations will be modified as required. Monitoring at the location(s) where the noise levels are elevated will be undertaken again with a minimum break of 75 minutes between monitoring.

The noise criteria for MCC apply under all meteorological conditions except for the following:

- i. Wind speeds greater than 3m/s at 10m above ground level; or
- ii. Stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater than 2 m/s at 10m above ground level; or
- iii. Stability category G temperature inversion conditions.

To determine compliance with the Leq (15 min) operational noise criteria the modification factors detailed in Section 4 of the NPI must be applied, as appropriate, to the measured noise levels.

Due to the distance of the mine from each residence, the monitoring of LA1 (1minute) at the facade is not considered necessary and will be conducted at the property boundary.

The attended noise monitoring locations are detailed in **Table 1** and shown in **Figure 1**.

N	Table 1 oise Monitoring Locations
Location	Description
R13	Sandy Creek Road
R15	Queen St
R17	Queen St
R25	Sandy Creek Road
R32	Muscle Creek Road



Figure 1 – Noise Monitoring Locations

Noise criteria for all assessment locations shown in Figure 1 are detailed in Appendix I to this report.

Monitoring Equipment

Attended noise monitoring was conducted with a Brüel & Kjær Type 2250 Precision Sound Analyser. This instrument has Type 1 characteristics as defined in AS1259-1990 "Sound Level Meters" and has current NATA calibration. Field calibration is carried out at the start and end of each monitoring period. Calibration certificates are attached as **Appendix II** to this report.

A-weighted noise levels were measured over the 15 minute monitoring period with data acquired of 1 second statistical intervals and the meter set to "fast" response. Each 1 second measurement is accompanied by a third-octave band spectrum from 20 - 20k Hz which is required for analysing NPI 'modifying factors'.





Time based field notes allow for determination of the relative contributions to the overall noise level of all significant noise sources.

Measurement Analysis

The MCC compliance noise criteria are based on a 15 minute Leq noise level. The 15 minute Leq noise level for each monitoring period is shown in the tables below. Where the noise from MCC was audible Bruel & Kjaer "*Evaluator*" analysis software was used to quantify the contribution of the mine and other significant noise sources to the overall level. Mine noise from MCC is shown in the table in bold type.

All noise levels shown are in dB(A) Leq (15 min) unless otherwise detailed.

MCC Operations

Operational details for MCC on 24th September, 2020 are shown in Appendix III.

Noise Compliance Assessment

The results of the noise measurements are shown in Table 2.

					Tak	ole 2			
			MCC Ope	rational Nois	se Monitor	ing Results	– 24 Septemb	er 2020	
Location	Time	dB(A), Leq	MCC Contribution dB(A), Leq	Criterion dB(A) Leq	dB(A), L1 (1min) ¹	Criterion dB(A), L1 (1min) ¹	Stability Class²/ Wind speed (m/s)/dir ^o	Compliant Met Conditions?	Identified Noise Sources ³
R13 Sandy Creek Rd.	10:32 pm	40	37	41	42	45	E/2.2/344	Yes	MCC (37), traffic (37)
R15 Queen St.	10:52 pm	35	<20	37	30	45	D/E/1.6/350	Yes	Traffic (33), domestic a/c (31), MCC audible occasionally
R17 Queen St.	11:10 pm	34	n/a	35	n/a	45	D/1.6/356	Yes	Traffic (33), dogs (26), MCC inaudible
R25 Sandy Creek Rd.	10:15 pm	32	n/a	42	n/a	45	D/2.1/4	Yes	Traffic (31), electrical substation (23), frogs (21), MCC inaudible
R32 Muscle Creek Rd.	11:35 pm	36	34	35	42	45	D/1.6/12	Yes	MCC (34), traffic (30), frogs (25)

1. L1 (1 min) from MCC mine noise only

2. See text regarding stability class

3. See text regarding MCC noise sources

The results in Table 2 show that, under the operational and meteorological conditions at the time, noise from MCC did not exceed the relevant noise criteria at any time or location during the monitoring period.





The data from the mine operated weather station showed that meteorological conditions were compliant with the conditions in the NMP for the entire noise monitoring survey.

Mine noise from Muswellbrook Coal was audible and measureable at monitoring locations R13 and R32. At location R13 the mine noise was attributable to engine revs and dozer tracks as well as mine hum. At location R32 the mine noise was attributable to mine hum and engine revs. At location R15 impact noises from the mine were occasionally audible. At the other locations the operations at Muswellbrook Coal were inaudible.

Data from those times where MCC operations were audible were analysed using the *"Evaluator"* software. This analysis showed the noise did not contain any tonal or impulsive components as per definitions in the NPI.

The methodology for analysing the low frequency noise modifying factor correction in the NPI is shown in extract below.

Low-frequency noise	Measurement of source contribution C- weighted and A- weighted level and one-third octave measurements in the range 10– 160 Hz	 Measure/assess source contribution C- and A-weighted Leq, T levels over same time period. Correction to be applied where the C minus A level is 15 dB or more and: where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by up to and including 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 2- dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A- weighted levels applies for the evening/night period where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by more than 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 5-dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A- weighted levels applies for the evening/night period where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by more than 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 5-dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A- weighted levels applies for the evening/night period and a 2- dB(A) positive adjustment applies for the daytime period. 	2 or 5 dB ²	A difference of 15 dB or more between C- and A-weighted measurements identifies the potential for an unbalance spectrum and potential increased annoyance. The values in Table C2 are derived from Moorhouse (2011) for DEFRA fluctuating low- frequency noise criteria with corrections to reflect external assessment locations.
------------------------	---	---	------------------------	---

	Table C2 :	One-third oc	tave low-freq	uency noise	thresholds.
--	------------	--------------	---------------	-------------	-------------

Hz/dB(Z)	One-th	nird octa	ave dB(2	Z) Leq (15 min)	thresho	ld level						
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
dB(Z)	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44

The correction applies to the mine noise component only. There are many sources of low frequency noise in the acoustic environment of each receiver area (including noise from road and rail traffic and from rail track works). In many cases the C minus A level is greater than 15dB due to these other noise sources. In most instances the screening criteria will be the one third octave analysis. Should the mine noise not comply with this then the C minus A analysis will be applied.



Tables 3 and **4** show the low frequency noise analysis for the periods where the mine noise was able to be accurately isolated from the overall measurements during the monitoring at Locations R13 and R32.

					Ta	able 3							
		Lo	w Freq	uency N	loise Ar	alysis -	- 24 Sep	tember	2020				
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
R13 Sandy Ck Rd.	<35	35.3	42.7	35.7	43.2	42.4	45.2	46.6	42.5	42.4	42.9	38.7	34.0
dB(Z) Criterion	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44
Exceedance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

					Ta	able 4							
		Lo	w Freq	uency N	loise Ar	nalysis -	- 24 Sep	tember	2020				
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
R32 Muscle Ck Rd.	<34	34.2	42.3	37.6	43.9	44.5	48.4	45.9	46.0	47.0	43.9	42.3	36.9
dB(Z) Criterion	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44
Exceedance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The results in Tables 3 and 4 show that there is no requirement to apply a low frequency noise modifying factor correction to the measured noise levels at any of the monitoring locations.

In addition to the operational noise, the noise from MCC must not exceed **45 or 47 dB(A) L1 (1 min)** between the hours of 10 pm and 7 am (see Appendix I for details of noise criteria at various receiver locations). This is to minimise the potential for sleep disturbance as a result of individual loud noises from the mine.

The compliance measurement locations are different for each of the operational and sleep disturbance noise. That is, the sleep disturbance criterion is typically applicable at 1m from the facade of a bedroom window.

To avoid undue disturbance to residents the L1 (1 min) noise level from the operational measurements are used to show general compliance with the sleep disturbance criterion. That is, as the distance between the noise source and the operational noise monitoring location is significantly greater than the distance between the operational noise monitoring location and the sleep disturbance monitoring location (i.e. 1m from the facade of the house) there will be little variation in L1 (1 min) levels between the two monitoring locations.

It must be noted, however, that the sleep disturbance criterion is applicable at the outside of a bedroom window. As the internal layout of each residence is not known, to consider a worst case, the bedroom windows were assumed to be facing towards the mine.

As shown in Table 2, during the night time measurement circuit the L1 (1 min) noise from MCC did not exceed 45 dB(A) at any monitoring location.





At Locations R13 and R32 the L1 (1 min) was attributable to noise from engine revs. At Location R15 the L1 (1 min) was attributable to an impact noises, possibly from material being loaded into, or unloaded from, a haul truck.

We trust this report fulfils your requirements at this time, however, should you require additional information or assistance please contact the undersigned on 0412 023 455.

Yours faithfully,

SPECTRUM ACOUSTICS PTY LIMITED

Author:

Ross Hodge Acoustical Consultant

Review:

Neil Pennington Acoustical Consultant

Appendix I

Noise criteria from Development Consent DA205/2002 (Locations as per Figure 1).

Location	Day	Evening	Nig	ht
Location	L _{Aeq(15 minute)}	L _{Aeq(15 minute)}	L _{Aeq(15 minute)}	L _{A1 (1 minute)}
R1, R2, R3, R4, R17, R26, R27, R28, R29, R30, R31, R32, R33, R34, R35, R37, R38, R39	35	35	35	45
R5	36	36	36	45
R7	38	38	38	45
R11	39	39	39	45
R12	39	39	39	45
R13	41	41	41	45
R14	38	38	38	45
R15	37	37	37	45
R16	36	36	36	45
R17	35	35	35	45
R18	45	38	37	47
R20	45	38	37	47
R21	37	37	37	45
R22	39	39	39	45
R23	39	39	39	45
R24	40	40	40	45
R25	42	42	42	45
R36	38	38	38	45
R40	42	42	42	45
R41	42	42	42	45
R42	40	40	40	45

Note: All levels are in dB(A)

Note: Following further consultation with the community it has been identified that R11 is a stable complex, not a residence, so the criteria listed in the table above do not apply.



Appendix II

Calibration Certificates

Australian Calibration Laborator				NATA
Suite 2, 6-10 Talavera Road, Nor		01		WORLD RECOGNISED
CERTIFICATE C	OF CALIBRATION	Certificate No: CAU	J1901071	Page 1 of 12
CALIBRATION OF:				
Sound Level Meter:	Bruel & Kjaer	2250	No: 274779	4
Microphone:	Bruel & Kjaer	4189	No: 273351	.1
Preamplifier:	Bruel & Kjaer	ZC-0032	No: 15339	
Supplied Calibrator:	Bruel & Kjaer	None	No: N/A	
Software version:	BZ7224 Version 4.6.0	Pattern Approval:	PTB	
Instruction manual:	BE1712-22	Identification:	N/A	
CUSTOMER:				
	Spectrum Acoustics Pty Ltd			
	30 Veronica Street			
	Cardiff NSW 2285			
CALIBRATION CON				
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS:	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environme		fied in IEC61672	-1:2013 class 1.
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter h: Procedures from IEC 616 PROCEDURE:	4 hours at 23 °C	th the requirements as speci e periodic tests.		
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter h. Procedures from IEC 616? PROCEDURE: The measurements have	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environme as been calibrated in accordance wi 72-3:2013 were used to perform the	th the requirements as speci e periodic tests. e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Leve	l Meter Calibrati	
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter has Procedures from IEC 616: PROCEDURE: The measurements have 3630 with application sof	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environme as been calibrated in accordance wi 72-3:2013 were used to perform the been performed with the assistance	th the requirements as speci e periodic tests. e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Leve	l Meter Calibrati	
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter has Procedures from IEC 616: PROCEDURE: The measurements have 3630 with application sof	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environme as been calibrated in accordance wi 72-3:2013 were used to perform the been performed with the assistance tware type 7763 (version 8.0 - DB: 8	th the requirements as speci e periodic tests. e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Leve	l Meter Calibrati 0-4189.	
Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter have Procedures from IEC 6163 PROCEDURE: The measurements have 3630 with application sof RESULTS: Initial calibration	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environme as been calibrated in accordance wi 72-3:2013 were used to perform the been performed with the assistance tware type 7763 (version 8.0 - DB: 8	th the requirements as speci e periodic tests. e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Leve 8.00) and test procedure 225	l Meter Calibrati 0-4189. ir/adjustment	
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter hi Procedures from IEC 6163 PROCEDURE: The measurements have 3630 with application sof RESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration without The reported expanded u a level of confidence of application of applicatio	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environme as been calibrated in accordance wi 72-3:2013 were used to perform the been performed with the assistance tware type 7763 (version 8.0 - DB: 8 bout repair/adjustment ncertainty is based on the standard oproximately 95 %. The uncertainty g from the standards, calibration me	th the requirements as speci e periodic tests. e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Leve 8.00) and test procedure 2250 Calibration prior to repai Calibration after repair/a uncertainty multiplied by a evaluation has been carried	l Meter Calibrati 0-4189. ir/adjustment adjustment coverage factor <i>k</i> out in accordanc	on System B&K
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter In Procedures from IEC 6163 PROCEDURE: The measurements have 3630 with application sof RESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration without The reported expanded u a level of confidence of application from the devi-	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environme as been calibrated in accordance wi 72-3:2013 were used to perform the been performed with the assistance tware type 7763 (version 8.0 - DB: 8 bout repair/adjustment ncertainty is based on the standard oproximately 95 %. The uncertainty g from the standards, calibration me	th the requirements as speci e periodic tests. e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Leve 8.00) and test procedure 2250 Calibration prior to repai Calibration after repair/a uncertainty multiplied by a evaluation has been carried	l Meter Calibrati 0-4189. ir/adjustment adjustment coverage factor <i>k</i> out in accordanc al conditions and	on System B&K
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter In: Procedures from IEC 616: PROCEDURE: The measurements have 3630 with application sof RESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration without the reported expanded up a level of confidence of approved the terms originating contribution from the device	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environme as been calibrated in accordance wi 72-3:2013 were used to perform the been performed with the assistance tware type 7763 (version 8.0 - DB: 8 bout repair/adjustment ncertainty is based on the standard oproximately 95 %. The uncertainty g from the standards, calibration me vice under calibration.	th the requirements as speci e periodic tests. e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Leve 8.00) and test procedure 2250 Calibration prior to repai Calibration after repair/a uncertainty multiplied by a o evaluation has been carried ethod, effect of environment	l Meter Calibrati 0-4189. ir/adjustment adjustment coverage factor <i>k</i> out in accordanc al conditions and	on System B&K
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter In: Procedures from IEC 616: PROCEDURE: The measurements have 3630 with application sof RESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration without The reported expanded us a level of confidence of approved the solution of the devi-	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environme as been calibrated in accordance wi 72-3:2013 were used to perform the been performed with the assistance tware type 7763 (version 8.0 - DB: 8 bout repair/adjustment ncertainty is based on the standard oproximately 95 %. The uncertainty g from the standards, calibration me vice under calibration.	th the requirements as speci e periodic tests. e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Leve 8.00) and test procedure 2250 Calibration prior to repai Calibration after repair/a uncertainty multiplied by a o evaluation has been carried ethod, effect of environment	l Meter Calibrati 0-4189. ir/adjustment adjustment coverage factor <i>k</i> out in accordanc al conditions and	on System B&K
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter In: Procedures from IEC 6163 PROCEDURE: The measurements have 3630 with application sof RESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration without The reported expanded u a level of confidence of a rom elements originating contribution from the devi-	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environme as been calibrated in accordance wi 72-3:2013 were used to perform the been performed with the assistance tware type 7763 (version 8.0 - DB: 8 bout repair/adjustment ncertainty is based on the standard oproximately 95 %. The uncertainty g from the standards, calibration me vice under calibration.	th the requirements as speci e periodic tests. e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Leve 8.00) and test procedure 2250 Calibration prior to repai Calibration after repair/a uncertainty multiplied by a o evaluation has been carried ethod, effect of environment	l Meter Calibrati 0-4189. ir/adjustment adjustment coverage factor <i>k</i> out in accordanc al conditions and 5/11/2019	on System B&K



Australian Calibration Laboratory Suite 2, 6-10 Talavera Read, North	n Ryde NSW 2113, Australia		
CERTIFICATE OF	Offee 17025 - Calibration Laboratory	No.: CAU1900185	Page 1 o
CALIBRATION OF:		No.: CA01900185	
Calibrator: Description:	Brüel & Kjær Acoustical Calibrator	4231 No: 2466354	
Identification: IEC Class: 1	N/A		
CUSTOMER:	Spectrum Acoustics Pty Lto 30 Veronica Street Cardiff NSW 2285		
CALIBRATION CO	NDITIONS:		
Preconditioning: Environment conditions:	4 hours at 23 °C Air temperature: Air pressure: Relative Humidity:	23.8 °C 100.5 kPa 57.2 %RH	
PROCEDURE: The measurements have be		with the requirements as specified in IEC6094 ce of Brüel & Kjær acoustic calibrator calibrat mplete	
RESULTS:			
Initial Calibratio	m	Calibration before repair/adjustmer	nt
X Recalibration w	ithout repair/adjustment	Calibration after repair/adjustment	
a level of confidence of app	roximately 95%. The uncertain from the standards, calibration	rd uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor nty evaluation has been carried out in accordan method, effect of environmental conditions an	nce with EA-4/02
885 (SM85) V	: 14/03/2019	Certificate issued: 14/03/2019	
Date of Calibration		Anna	
Date of Calibration	1		
Date of Calibration		Craig Patrick proved Signatory	



Appendix III

Operational Details - 24 September 2020 (10.00 pm to Midnight)

For that period mining was carried out as follows;

- 211, 1 x D10 dozer, 5 x Hitachi 3500 trucks in S23 hauling waste to the RL233 dump in Pit 1
- 212, 1 x D10 dozer, 2 x Hitachi trucks in S22 hauling waste to the RL 175 dump in Pit 1
- 1 x D10 dozer on RL 233 dump in Pit 1
- No Crushing or washing
- 2 x graders
- 1 x watercart
- Drilling on RL 205 bench in Strip 23



