

3 March 2020

Ref: 171356/28848

Muswellbrook Coal Company PO Box 123 Muswellbrook NSW 2333

#### RE: FEBRUARY 2020 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS - MUSWELLBROOK COAL MINE

This letter report presents the results of noise compliance monitoring, commencing at about 1.30 am on Thursday 13<sup>th</sup> of February, 2020, for the Muswellbrook Coal Company (MCC) mine at Muscle Creek Road, Muswellbrook. The monitoring was undertaken as per the requirements of D.A. 205/2002 and detailed in the Noise Management Plan (NMP) for the mine.

#### Attended Noise Monitoring Program

Noise monitoring was undertaken in accordance with the NMP as summarised below.

All attended monitoring and equipment maintenance and calibration is conducted in accordance with the Noise Policy for Industry (NPI) and AS1055 – Acoustics, Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise.

Attended noise monitoring is undertaken monthly by an independent noise consultant. Each attended noise survey will be conducted during night periods only. If it is identified during the noise monitoring that the mining noise from the operation is exceeding the criteria, MCC will be notified and the operations will be modified as required. Monitoring at the location(s) where the noise levels are elevated will be undertaken again with a minimum break of 75 minutes between monitoring.

The noise criteria for MCC apply under all meteorological conditions except for the following:

- i. Wind speeds greater than 3m/s at 10m above ground level; or
- ii. Stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater than 2 m/s at 10m above ground level; or
- iii. Stability category G temperature inversion conditions.

To determine compliance with the Leq (15 min) operational noise criteria the modification factors detailed in Section 4 of the NPI must be applied, as appropriate, to the measured noise levels.

Due to the distance of the mine from each residence, the monitoring of LA1 (1minute) at the facade is not considered necessary and will be conducted at the property boundary.

The attended noise monitoring locations are detailed in **Table 1** and shown in **Figure 1**.

Nois	Table 1 se Monitoring Locations					
Location Description						
R13	Sandy Creek Road					
R15	Queen St					
R17	Queen St					
R25	Sandy Creek Road					
R32	Muscle Creek Road					




Figure 1 – Noise Monitoring Locations

Noise criteria for all assessment locations shown in Figure 1 are detailed in Appendix I to this report.

### **Monitoring Equipment**

Attended noise monitoring was conducted with a Brüel & Kjær Type 2250 Precision Sound Analyser. This instrument has Type 1 characteristics as defined in AS1259-1990 "Sound Level Meters" and has current NATA calibration. Field calibration is carried out at the start and end of each monitoring period. Calibration certificates are attached as **Appendix II** to this report.

A-weighted noise levels were measured over the 15 minute monitoring period with data acquired of 1 second statistical intervals and the meter set to "fast" response. Each 1 second measurement is accompanied by a third-octave band spectrum from 20 - 20k Hz which is required for analysing NPI 'modifying factors'.





Time based field notes allow for determination of the relative contributions to the overall noise level of all significant noise sources.

#### **Measurement Analysis**

The MCC compliance noise criteria are based on a 15 minute Leq noise level. The 15 minute Leq noise level for each monitoring period is shown in the tables below. Where the noise from MCC was audible Bruel & Kjaer "*Evaluator*" analysis software was used to quantify the contribution of the mine and other significant noise sources to the overall level. Mine noise from MCC is shown in the table in bold type.

All noise levels shown are in dB(A) Leq (15 min) unless otherwise detailed.

#### **MCC Operations**

Operational details for MCC on 13<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 are shown in Appendix III.

#### **Noise Compliance Assessment**

The results of the noise measurements are shown in Table 2.

					Tab	ole 2			
			MCC Ope	erational Noi	ise Monito	ring Results	– 13 Februa	ry 2020	
Location	Time	dB(A), Leq	MCC Contribution dB(A), Leq	Criterion dB(A) Leq	dB(A), L1 (1min) <sup>1</sup>	Criterion dB(A), L1 (1min) <sup>1</sup>	Stability Class²/ Wind speed (m/s)/dir <sup>o</sup>	Compliant Met Conditions?	Identified Noise Sources <sup>3</sup>
R13 Sandy Creek Rd.	1:49 am	32	30	41	37	45	E/1.5/149	Yes	MCC (30), frogs and insects (27)
R15 Queen St.	2:12 am	33	30	37	37	45	E/1.5/171	Yes	MCC (30), birds (29)
R17 Queen St.	2:30 am	39	n/a	35	n/a	45	E/1.9/145	Yes	Frogs & insects (39), MCC barely audible
R25 Sandy Creek Rd.	1:30 am	33	32	42	39	45	E/1.3/143	Yes	MCC (32), birds & insects (27)
R32 Muscle Creek Rd.	2:52 am	34	n/a	35	n/a	45	E/1.9/144	Yes	Frogs & insects (34), MCC inaudible

1. L1 (1 min) from MCC mine noise only

2. See text regarding stability class

3. See text regarding MCC noise sources

The results in Table 2 show that, under the operational and meteorological conditions at the time, noise from MCC did not exceed the relevant noise criteria at any time or location during the monitoring period.





The data from the mine operated weather station showed that the atmospheric conditions were in compliance for the entire monitoring period at all locations.

Mine noise audible and measurable at Locations R13, R15 and R25. At each of these locations the mine noise was attributable to regular engine revs as well as general mine hum and occasional horns. At Location R17 the mine noise was barely audible and was not consistent enough to be measureable. At this location the mine noise was attributable to faint engine revs.

Data from those times where MCC operations were audible were analysed using the *"Evaluator"* software. This analysis showed the noise did not contain any tonal or impulsive components as per definitions in the NPI.

The methodology for analysing the low frequency noise modifying factor correction in the NPI is shown in extract below.

Low-frequency noise	Measurement of source contribution C- weighted and A- weighted level and one-third octave measurements in the range 10– 160 Hz	<ul> <li>Measure/assess source contribution</li> <li>C- and A-weighted Leq,T levels over same time period. Correction to be applied where the C minus A level is 15 dB or more and:</li> <li>where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by up to and including 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 2- dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A- weighted levels applies for the evening/night period</li> <li>where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by more than 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 5-dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A- weighted levels applies for the evening/night period</li> <li>where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by more than 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 5-dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A- weighted levels applies for the evening/night period and a 2- dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A- weighted levels applies for the daytime period.</li> </ul>	2 or 5 dB <sup>2</sup>	A difference of 15 dB or more between C- and A-weighted measurements identifies the potential for an unbalance spectrum and potential increased annoyance. The values in Table C2 are derived from Moorhouse (2011) for DEFRA fluctuating low- frequency noise criteria with corrections to reflect external assessment locations.
------------------------	---	---	------------------------	---

Table C2 : One-third octave low-frequency noise thresholds.
---

Hz/dB(Z)	One-th	nird octa	ave dB(2	Z) Leq (	15 min)	thresho	ld level						
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
dB(Z)	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44

The correction applies to the mine noise component only. There are many sources of low frequency noise in the acoustic environment of each receiver area (including noise from road and rail traffic and from rail track works). In many cases the C minus A level is greater than 15dB due to these other noise sources. In most instances the screening criteria will be the one third octave analysis. Should the mine noise not comply with this then the C minus A analysis will be applied.



**Tables 3**, **4** and **5** show the low frequency noise analysis for the periods where the mine noise was able to be accurately isolated from the overall measurement during the monitoring at Locations R13, R15 and R25.

					Ta	ble 3							
		Lo	ow Freq	uency N	loise Ar	alysis -	- 13 Feb	ruary 2	020				
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
R13 Sandy Ck Rd.	<30	30.4	36.4	34.8	38.5	40.1	43.2	41.6	37.7	35.5	31.1	32.0	35.7
dB(Z) Criterion	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44
Exceedance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

					Ta	ble 4							
		Lo	ow Freq	uency N	loise Ar	nalysis -	- 13 Feb	ruary 2	020				
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
R15 Queen St.	<30	30.3	31.3	32.8	34.9	34.5	37.9	38.0	36.3	39.1	38.7	35.2	36.2
dB(Z) Criterion	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44
Exceedance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

					Ta	ble 5							
		Lo	ow Freq	uency N	loise Ar	nalysis -	- 13 Feb	ruary 2	020				
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
R25 Sandy Ck Rd.	<36	36.7	39.3	38.9	40.9	42.7	37.8	40.5	40.2	43.6	41.8	39.7	35.1
dB(Z) Criterion	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44
Exceedance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The results in Tables 3, 4 and 5 show that there is no requirement to apply a low frequency noise modifying factor correction to the measured noise levels at either of Locations R13, R15 or R25.

In addition to the operational noise, the noise from MCC must not exceed **45 or 47 dB(A) L1 (1 min)** between the hours of 10 pm and 7 am (see Appendix I for details of noise criteria at various receiver locations). This is to minimise the potential for sleep disturbance as a result of individual loud noises from the mine.

The compliance measurement locations are different for each of the operational and sleep disturbance noise. That is, the sleep disturbance criterion is typically applicable at 1m from the facade of a bedroom window.

To avoid undue disturbance to residents the L1 (1 min) noise level from the operational measurements are used to show general compliance with the sleep disturbance criterion. That is, as the distance between the noise source and the operational noise monitoring location is significantly greater than the distance between the operational noise monitoring location and the sleep disturbance monitoring location (i.e. 1m from the facade of the house) there will be little variation in L1 (1 min) levels between the two monitoring locations.







It must be noted, however, that the sleep disturbance criterion is applicable at the outside of a bedroom window. As the internal layout of each residence is not known, to consider a worst case, the bedroom windows were assumed to be facing towards the mine.

As shown in Table 2, during the night time measurement circuit the L1 (1 min) noise from MCC did not exceed 45 dB(A) at any monitoring location.

At each of the monitoring locations where the mine noise was measurable it was from engine revs and general mine hum. Occasional horns were audible. The worst case L1 (1 min) at each of the locations (as shown in Table 2) was attributable to relative increase in the noise from engine revs.

We trust this report fulfils your requirements at this time, however, should you require additional information or assistance please contact the undersigned on 4954 2276.

Yours faithfully,

#### SPECTRUM ACOUSTICS PTY LIMITED

Author:

Cars,

Ross Hodge Acoustical Consultant

Review:

Neil Pennington Acoustical Consultant



## Appendix I

Noise criteria from Development Consent DA205/2002 (Locations as per Figure 1).

Location	Day	Evening	Nig	ht
Location	L <sub>Aeq(15 minute)</sub>	L <sub>Aeq(15 minute)</sub>	L <sub>Aeq(15 minute)</sub>	L <sub>A1 (1 minute)</sub>
R1, R2, R3, R4, R17, R26, R27, R28, R29, R30, R31, R32, R33, R34, R35, R37, R38, R39	35	35	35	45
R5	36	36	36	45
R7	38	38	38	45
R11	39	39	39	45
R12	39	39	39	45
R13	41	41	41	45
R14	38	38	38	45
R15	37	37	37	45
R16	36	36	36	45
R17	35	35	35	45
R18	45	38	37	47
R20	45	38	37	47
R21	37	37	37	45
R22	39	39	39	45
R23	39	39	39	45
R24	40	40	40	45
R25	42	42	42	45
R36	38	38	38	45
R40	42	42	42	45
R41	42	42	42	45
R42	40	40	40	45

Note: All levels are in dB(A)

Note: Following further consultation with the community it has been identified that R11 is a stable complex, not a residence, so the criteria listed in the table above do not apply.



# Appendix II

#### **Calibration Certificates**

received for compliance with	ISO/IEC 17025 - Calibration. Laboratory N	ne. 1943	WORLD RECOR	ANDER
	E OF CALIBRAT	ON Certificate No: 0	AU1800652 Page 1 o	
CALIBRATION			14511	
Sound Level Meter:	Bruel & Kjaer	2250	No: 2747794	
Microphone:	Bruel & Kjaer	4189	No: 2733511	
Preamplifier:	Bruel & Kjaer	ZC-0032	No: 15339	
Supplied Calibrator:	N/A	N/A	No: N/A	
Software version:	BZ7224 Version 4.6	Pattern Approval:	PTB	
Instruction manual:	BE1712-22	Identification:	N/A	
CUSTOMER:				
	Spectrum Acoustics Pty Lt	d		
	30 Veronica Street			
	Cardiff NSW 2285			
CALIBRATION C	CONDITIONS:			
	CONDITIONS: 4 hours at 23 °C			
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATION The Sound Level Meter h	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Envira		ified in IEC61672-1:2013 ela	135 1.
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATION: The Sound Level Meter h Procedures from IEC 616 PROCEDURE:	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Enviro S: has been calibrated in accordance (72-3:2013 were used to perform	with the requirements as spee the periodic tests.		9976407
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATION The Sound Level Meter h Procedures from IEC 616 PROCEDURE: The measurements have b	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Envira S: as been calibrated in accordance	with the requirements as spee the periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev	el Meter Calibration System	9976407
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATION: The Sound Level Meter h Procedures from IEC 616 PROCEDURE: The measurements have b 3630 with application sof	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Enviro S: as been calibrated in accordance 72-3:2013 were used to perform been performed with the assistance	with the requirements as spee the periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev	el Meter Calibration System	9976407
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATION: The Sound Level Meter h Procedures from IEC 616 PROCEDURE: The measurements have b 3630 with application sof	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Enviro S: has been calibrated in accordance (72-3:2013 were used to perform been performed with the assistant tware type 7763 (version 7.2 - D	with the requirements as spee the periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev	el Meter Calibration System 50-4189.	9976407
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATION The Sound Level Meter h Procedures from IEC 616 PROCEDURE: The measurements have b 3630 with application sof RESULTS: Initial calibratio	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Enviro S: has been calibrated in accordance (72-3:2013 were used to perform been performed with the assistant tware type 7763 (version 7.2 - D	with the requirements as spee the periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev B: 7.20) and test procedure 22	el Meter Calibration System 50-4189. pair/adjustment	9010407
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATION: The Sound Level Meter h Procedures from IEC 616 PROCEDURE: The measurements have b 3630 with application sof RESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration with The reported expanded un a level of confidence of a from elements originating	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Enviro S: has been calibrated in accordance (72-3:2013 were used to perform the performed with the assistant tware type 7763 (version 7.2 - D n out repair/adjustment incertainty is based on the standar pproximately 95 %. The uncertai g from the standards, calibration	with the requirements as spee the periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev B: 7.20) and test procedure 22 Calibration prior to rej Calibration after repai d uncertainty multiplied by a c	el Meter Calibration System 50-4189. pair/adjustment r/adjustment coverage factor k = 2 providi d out in accordance with EA-	B&K
SPECIFICATION The Sound Level Meter H Procedures from IEC 616 PROCEDURE: The measurements have b 3630 with application sof RESULTS: Initial calibratio X Calibration with The reported expanded u a level of confidence of a from elements originating contribution from the dev	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Enviro S: has been calibrated in accordance (72-3:2013 were used to perform the performed with the assistant tware type 7763 (version 7.2 - D n out repair/adjustment incertainty is based on the standar pproximately 95 %. The uncertai g from the standards, calibration	with the requirements as spee the periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev B: 7.20) and test procedure 22 Calibration prior to rej Calibration after repai d uncertainty multiplied by a c	el Meter Calibration System 50-4189. pair/adjustment r/adjustment roverage factor k = 2 providir d out in accordance with EA- al conditions and any short ti	B&K
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATION: The Sound Level Meter h Procedures from IEC 616 PROCEDURE: The measurements have b 3630 with application sof RESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration with The reported expanded un a level of confidence of a from elements originating contribution from the dev	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Enviro S: has been calibrated in accordance 72-3:2013 were used to perform tween performed with the assistan- tware type 7763 (version 7.2 - D n out repair/adjustment ncertainty is based on the standar pproximately 95 %. The uncertai g from the standards, calibration ice under calibration.	with the requirements as spee the periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev B: 7.20) and test procedure 22 Calibration prior to rep Calibration after repai d uncertainty multiplied by a o inty evaluation has been carrie method, effect of environment	el Meter Calibration System 50-4189. pair/adjustment r/adjustment roverage factor k = 2 providir d out in accordance with EA- al conditions and any short ti	B&K
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATION: The Sound Level Meter h Procedures from IEC 616 PROCEDURE: The measurements have b 3630 with application sof RESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration with The reported expanded un a level of confidence of a from elements originating contribution from the dev	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Enviro S: has been calibrated in accordance 72-3:2013 were used to perform tween performed with the assistan- tware type 7763 (version 7.2 - D n out repair/adjustment ncertainty is based on the standar pproximately 95 %. The uncertai g from the standards, calibration ice under calibration.	with the requirements as spee the periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev B: 7.20) and test procedure 22 Calibration prior to rep Calibration after repai d uncertainty multiplied by a o inty evaluation has been carrie method, effect of environment	el Meter Calibration System 50-4189. pair/adjustment r/adjustment roverage factor k = 2 providir d out in accordance with EA- al conditions and any short ti	B&K
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATION The Sound Level Meter H Procedures from IEC 616 PROCEDURE: The measurements have H 3630 with application sof RESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration with The reported expanded un a level of confidence of a from elements originating contribution from the dev Date of Calibra	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Enviro S: as been calibrated in accordance 72-3:2013 were used to perform tween performed with the assistant tware type 7763 (version 7.2 - D n out repair/adjustment neertainty is based on the standar pproximately 95 %. The uncertai g from the standards, calibration ice under calibration. ation: 25/06/2018	with the requirements as spec the periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev B: 7.20) and test procedure 22 Calibration prior to rep Calibration after repair d uncertainty multiplied by a c inty evaluation has been carrie method, effect of environment Certificate issued:	el Meter Calibration System 50-4189. pair/adjustment r/adjustment roverage factor k = 2 providir d out in accordance with EA- al conditions and any short ti 26/06/2018	B&K
Preconditioning: Environment conditions: SPECIFICATION: The Sound Level Meter h Procedures from IEC 616 PROCEDURE: The measurements have b 3630 with application sof RESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration with The reported expanded us a level of confidence of a from elements originating contribution from the dev Date of Calibra Sajeeb Calibratio	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Enviro S: mas been calibrated in accordance (72-3:2013 were used to perform tween performed with the assistant tware type 7763 (version 7.2 - D n out repair/adjustment neertainty is based on the standar pproximately 95 %. The uncertai g from the standards, calibration rice under calibration. ation: 25/06/2018	with the requirements as spee the periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev B: 7.20) and test procedure 22 Calibration prior to rep Calibration after repai d uncertainty multiplied by a c inty evaluation has been carrie method, effect of environment Certificate issued: Certificate issued: Jan Rasmu Approved sign	el Meter Calibration System 50-4189. pair/adjustment t/adjustment troverage factor $k = 2$ providir d out in accordance with EA- al conditions and any short ti 26/06/2018	B&K





	5 4 MONOVID 1 1				
Suite 2, 6-10 Talavera Read, North Accredited for compliance with IS	O/IEC 17025 - Calibration. Laboratory	No. 1301			MON, D NECOD
CERTIFICATE OF	CALIBRATION	No.: CA	U190018	5	Page 1 o
CALIBRATION OF:					
Calibrator: Description: Identification: IEC Class: 1	Brücl & Kjær Acoustical Calibrator N/A	4231		No: 2466354	
CUSTOMER:	Spectrum Acoustics Pty Ltd 30 Veronica Street Cardiff NSW 2285	1			
CALIBRATION CO	NDITIONS:				
Preconditioning: Environment conditions:	4 hours at 23 °C Air temperature: Air pressure: Relative Humidity:	23.8 100.5 57.2	kPa		
SPECIFICATIONS: The acoustic calibrator has	been calibrated in accordance	with the requ	irements as	specified in IEC60942	
	en performed with the assistar alibration procedure 4231 Co		: Kjær acou	stic calibrator calibratio	on application
RESULTS:		12000		fore repair/adjustment	
RESULTS:	m	C	alibration be		
Initial Calibratio	on ithout repair/adjustment			ter repair/adjustment	
Initial Calibratio	ithout repair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standa rooximately 95%. The uncerta rom the standards, calibration	rd uncertainty	libration af multiplied has been o	ter repair/adjustment by a coverage factor k arried out in accordance	e with EA-4/02
Initial Calibratio X Recalibration wi The reported expanded unce a level of confidence of app from elements originating f	ithout repair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standa roximately 95%. The uncerta rom the standards, calibration rator under calibration.	rd uncertainty inty evaluatio method, effe	libration af multiplied has been o t of enviror	ter repair/adjustment by a coverage factor k arried out in accordance	e with EA-4/02
Initial Calibratio X Recalibration wi The reported expanded unce a level of confidence of app from elements originating for contribution from the calibr	ithout repair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standa roximately 95%. The uncerta rom the standards, calibration rator under calibration.	rd uncertainty inty evaluatio method, effe	libration af multiplied has been o t of enviror	ter repair/adjustment by a coverage factor k arried out in accordanc umental conditions and	e with EA-4/02
Initial Calibratio X Recalibration wi The reported expanded unce a level of confidence of app from elements originating for contribution from the calibr	ithout repair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standa roximately 95%. The uncerta rom the standards, calibration rator under calibration.	rd uncertainty inty evaluatio method, effe	libration af multiplied has been o t of enviror	ter repair/adjustment by a coverage factor k arried out in accordanc umental conditions and	e with EA-4/02
Initial Calibratio X Recalibration wi The reported expanded unce a level of confidence of app from elements originating for contribution from the calibr	ithout repair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standa oroximately 95%. The uncerta rom the standards, calibration ator under calibration. 14/03/2019	rd uncertainty inty evaluatio method, effe	Ilibration af multiplied n has been o et of environ icate issued	ter repair/adjustment by a coverage factor k arried out in accordanc umental conditions and	e with EA-4/02





## Appendix III

#### Operational Details - 13 February 2020 (1.30am to 3am)

For that period, mining was carried out as follows;

- 211, 209, 1 x D10 dozer, 7 x Hitachi 3500 trucks and 2 x CAT 777's in S22 hauling waste to the RL150 dump in Pit 2
- 1 x D10 dozer on RL150 dump in Pit 2, 1 x D10 dozer doing rehab in Pit 2, 1 x D10 dozer doing road maintenance in Pit 1.
- No crushing or washing.
- 1 x 777 watercarts, 1 x grader
- No drilling



