

OVERVIEW

Meeting	BC Community Consultative Committee
Date	20/05/2014 Time 2:00pm
Venue	Boggabri Coal Office
Chairperson	John Turner (JT)
Note Taker	Sue Kirkby (SK)
Attendees	Jim Picton (JP), John Bastardo (JB), Alistair Todd (AT), Richard Gillham (RG), Catherine Collyer (CC), Boggabri Coal: Ken McLaren (KMc), Chase Dingle (CD), Lloyd Hardy (LH)
Apologies	CC: apology for running late
Guests	
Guest Speakers	
Next Meeting	3 months' time unless a meeting is required prior to this
Attachments	Attachment 1 – Presentation environmental monitoring and complaints Correspondence between JT & DoPI Correspondence between JT & EPA

MEETING OBJECTIVES

1	To communicate matters of importance at BCM to members of the community
2	To provide an update on the BCEP
3	To discuss any complaints received and raise any further complaints
4	To participate in a site tour of the Mining Operations and Construction activities.

ITEM	AGENDA/DISCUSSION ITEMS	Action
1 BCCC chair greetings and welcome	Welcome <ul style="list-style-type: none"> JT - welcomed all attendees 	
2 Declaration of pecuniary or non- pecuniary interests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> JT asked for anyone present to declare any pecuniary interest they may have in BCM: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> JT – Declared that he receives a fee for his position as CCC Chairman RG – Property falls into the BC zone of affectation JB – Agists cattle on property owned by BCM 	
3 Apologies, previous minutes and business arising	Apologies - Nil <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minutes were checked by those present at the last CCC meeting Moved that the minutes of the 11 February 2014 were a true and accurate record of the meeting – Moved JB seconded RG; carried 	

ITEM	AGENDA/DISCUSSION ITEMS	Action
	Business Arising - Nil	
4 Boggabri Coal Expansion Project (BCEP)update CD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CD - to provide an update of the BCEP during the site tour later in the day 	
5 Presentation of environmental monitoring results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CD – Exhibited and detailed an example of a dust management report (PM10 Response Reporting) • JP – If there is no exceedance and it is still visually dusty what can be done? • CD – Visual dust observations are made by trained personnel at the dispatch hut (overlooking operations) & the Supervisors/OCE's - they are responsible for day-to-day management of environmental factors including dust, noise, light, etc. These personnel may choose to alter operations (operating at a lower RL or closing an operation down) and will record such alterations on the daily operations register. This information is then used to track an operations response to adverse weather conditions. • JT – Asked for any further questions. • JP – How is NOx fume monitored/assessed? • CD – a combination of visual and chemical analysis. Chemical analysis is done using OdaLog 7000's, which monitors carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO2) and nitric oxide (NO). Please note that no known exceedance's have occurred since the inception of these monitors in 2013. • CD – circulated Appendix 2- Visual NOx Fume Rating Scale, from the Code of Good Practice – Prevention and Management of blast generated NOx Gases in Surface Blasting (Edition 1, June 2011). • AT – Visual blast fume last Saturday 17th May 2014 • CD – A detailed investigation into this blast is currently being conducted. • JP – The fume from this blast was visible for some time. • AT – Is the B20 diesel associated with blasting causing problems? • CD – All possible causes of blast fume are being investigated, including the fuel, ANFO and procedures. 	

ITEM	AGENDA/DISCUSSION ITEMS	Action
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • KM – There is a lot of pressure being applied to the blast contractors to improve performance, and Boggabri Coal will investigate thoroughly. • CD – Contractors are required to document every detail about drill & blasting activities. This information is then used to inform future drill & blasting activities. • JP – Can a copy of the blast fume report be shown at this meeting? • CD – The report is still being finalised as the incident is still under investigation. • CD – Exhibited the initial incident report. • JP – Interested in seeing the final report. • JT - The EPA are obliged to contact any complainant to discuss the findings of the investigation • CD – Happy to discuss BC findings with JP. CD has agreed to arrange a suitable time for BCM representatives to meet with JP to review the Blast Fume Investigation. • RG, CC, JB – The pile driver near the river has been heard on occasions early in the mornings when there is an inversion. • CD – explained real time noise monitoring of the construction activities is conducted at the closest sensitive receiver – the Coobooindi residence. • CD – Accessed the real-time Sentinex website and demonstrated how the data was collected, presented and analysed, to ensure compliance with EPL 12407. • JP – During the inversion this morning how close was the operation to shutting down? • CD – Alterations to operations are implemented as early as possible, predominantly when inversions are predicted, to best control adverse environmental factors. Demonstrated how alterations to an operation had been documented on a previous day with adverse weather conditions. • CC – Query re: Aboriginal Stakeholder representative, Steve Talbott, has he ever attended a meeting? • CD – is consulting Steve Talbott and the BC Stakeholder group to nominate a new representative as Steve now has alternate commitments. • CD - Has approached Toni Comber from the Red Chief Lands Council (RCLC) as she regularly attends other 	

ITEM	AGENDA/DISCUSSION ITEMS	Action
	<p>CCC meetings.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CD – Toni Comber could also be able to send a representative from the RCLC if she is unable to attend. • AT – Has the VPA for the Harparary Road been handed on to Narrabri Shire Council. • KM – Not as yet, funds not required until 1 July 2014. • CC – A proposal was put forward at today’s NSC meeting to upgrade Blair Athol Lane shortly following the Goonbri Road upgrade. • AT – Who will be responsible for the maintenance of the Goonbri Road? • CD – Narrabri Shire Council. • AT – Who will look after the part of LFR that remains open? • CD – As public infrastructure, Narrabri Shire Council. 	
6 Community Complaints	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complaints attached in presentation. • No further complaints. 	
7 Environmental Trust Fund (ETF)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JT – Believes NSC should take governance of the trust fund. • JT – circulated copies of correspondence with the Department of Planning and Infrastructure (DoPI) concerning the matter (attached). • JT – NSC should also be responsible for developing a standalone application form, advertising and developing the criteria for an application. • JT – Responsible for sign off of final approval. • AT – Is the CCC able to refuse all applications if they are deemed unsuitable? • JT – Yes • JT – Suggested that a sub-committee be formed, made up of 2 reps from each of the 3 CCCs, 2 reps from NSC and 1 rep from each of the mines. • AT – How would the subcommittee be formed? • JT – Called for nominations from BC CCC; JB & AT volunteered to represent BC CCC. CC to represent NSC. • CC – It would be beneficial for Dianne Hood to put forward the best department/ person to handle the Trust Fund application process. • JT – Will take the concept outlined above to the other 	

ITEM	AGENDA/DISCUSSION ITEMS	Action
	2 CCCs.	
10 General Business/ questions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JT – EPA Correspondence regarding a regional monitoring plan tabled and attached. • General discussion. • RG – Concerns over the state of the local roads and the huge volume of traffic now using them. • CD – BCPL will continue to consult with the Police, NSC & RMS regarding safety on public roads. • KM – Meeting last week with NSC, included discussions on the condition of Manilla & Leard Forest Road, along with existing safety measures. • All present have real concerns over road safety • KM – Has also requested greater police presence and speed checks. • CD – BCPL will continue to consult staff and contractors about road safety issues. • General discussion over pros and cons of traffic lights at the Iron Bridge, with the group split on the effectiveness of such measures. • RG – Has been asked where the company stands with regard to community sponsorship with the current down turn in the mining industry • KM – BCPL will continue to support local community events where reasonable and feasible. 2014 sponsorships to date include the Namoi Carp Muster, Maule’s Creek Camp Draft, Boggabri Drivers Campfire and Boggabri Camp Draft. • RG – Congratulated BCPL on the community donation of hay from Alcheringa. • CD, RG discussed various options for delivery of hay to those in need, with the Lion’s club willing to assist in whatever means possible. • RG – Thanked BCPL on behalf of the 2014 Drover’s Campfire for the sponsorship and associated mine tours. Noting that community members and visitors alike found them very informative. 	
	Meeting closed 3:25pm – Commenced tour of Mining Operations and Construction Activities.	