

25 November 2019

Ref: 171356/8737

Muswellbrook Coal Company PO Box 123 Muswellbrook NSW 2333

RE: NOVEMBER 2019 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS - MUSWELLBROOK COAL MINE

This letter report presents the results of noise compliance monitoring, commencing at about 10.00 pm on Wednesday 13<sup>th</sup> of November, 2019, for the Muswellbrook Coal Company (MCC) mine at Muscle Creek Road, Muswellbrook. The monitoring was undertaken as per the requirements of D.A. 205/2002 and detailed in the Noise Management Plan (NMP) for the mine.

## **Attended Noise Monitoring Program**

Noise monitoring was undertaken in accordance with the NMP as summarised below.

All attended monitoring and equipment maintenance and calibration is conducted in accordance with the Noise Policy for Industry (NPI) and AS1055 – Acoustics, Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise.

Attended noise monitoring is undertaken monthly by an independent noise consultant. Each attended noise survey will be conducted during night periods only. If it is identified during the noise monitoring that the mining noise from the operation is exceeding the criteria, MCC will be notified and the operations will be modified as required. Monitoring at the location(s) where the noise levels are elevated will be undertaken again with a minimum break of 75 minutes between monitoring.

The noise criteria for MCC apply under all meteorological conditions except for the following:

- i. Wind speeds greater than 3m/s at 10m above ground level; or
- ii. Stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater than 2 m/s at 10m above ground level; or
- iii. Stability category G temperature inversion conditions.

To determine compliance with the Leq (15 min) operational noise criteria the modification factors detailed in Section 4 of the NPI must be applied, as appropriate, to the measured noise levels.

Due to the distance of the mine from each residence, the monitoring of LA1 (1minute) at the facade is not considered necessary and will be conducted at the property boundary.



The attended noise monitoring locations are detailed in Table 1 and shown in Figure 1.

!	Table 1 Noise Monitoring Locations
Location	Description
R13	Sandy Creek Road
R15	Queen St
R17	Queen St
R25	Sandy Creek Road
R32	Muscle Creek Road




Figure 1 – Noise Monitoring Locations

Noise criteria for all assessment locations shown in Figure 1 are detailed in Appendix I to this report.

# **Monitoring Equipment**

Attended noise monitoring was conducted with a Brüel & Kjær Type 2250 Precision Sound Analyser. This instrument has Type 1 characteristics as defined in AS1259-1990 "Sound Level Meters" and has current NATA calibration. Field calibration is carried out at the start and end of each monitoring period. Calibration certificates are attached as **Appendix II** to this report.

A-weighted noise levels were measured over the 15 minute monitoring period with data acquired of 1 second statistical intervals and the meter set to "fast" response. Each 1 second measurement is accompanied by a third-octave band spectrum from 20 - 20k Hz which is required for analysing NPI 'modifying factors'.





Time based field notes allow for determination of the relative contributions to the overall noise level of all significant noise sources.

## **Measurement Analysis**

The MCC compliance noise criteria are based on a 15 minute Leq noise level. The 15 minute Leq noise level for each monitoring period is shown in the tables below. Where the noise from MCC was audible Bruel & Kjaer "Evaluator" analysis software was used to quantify the contribution of the mine and other significant noise sources to the overall level. Mine noise from MCC is shown in the table in bold type.

All noise levels shown are in dB(A) Leq (15 min) unless otherwise detailed.

## **MCC Operations**

Operational details for MCC on 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2019 are shown in Appendix III.

## **Noise Compliance Assessment**

The results of the noise measurements are shown in Table 2.

					Tak	ole 2			
			MCC Ope	rational Noi	se Monitor	ing Results	- 13 Novemb	er 2019	
Location	Time	dB(A), Leq	MCC Contribution dB(A), Leq	Criterion dB(A) Leq	dB(A), L1 (1min) <sup>1</sup>	Criterion dB(A), L1 (1min) <sup>1</sup>	Stability Class <sup>2</sup> / Wind speed (m/s)/dir <sup>o</sup>	Compliant Met Conditions?	Identified Noise Sources <sup>3</sup>
R13 Sandy Creek Rd.	10:22 pm	33	n/a	41	n/a	45	E/F/1.1/310	Yes	Traffic (33), insects (20), MCC inaudible
R15 Queen St.	10:45 pm	32	n/a	37	n/a	45	F/0.9/300	Yes	Traffic (30), insects (28), MCC inaudible
R17 Queen St.	11:03 pm	29	n/a	35	n/a	45	E/1.3/255	Yes	Traffic (27), birds & insects (23), MCC inaudible
R25 Sandy Creek Rd.	10:02 pm	31	22	42	32	45	E/1.7/318	Yes	Traffic (31), MCC (22), insects (20)
R32 Muscle Creek Rd.	11:30 pm	28	22	35	36	45	E/1.5/290	Yes	Traffic (25), insects (23) MCC (22)

- 1. L1 (1 min) from MCC mine noise only
- 2. See text regarding stability class
- 3. See text regarding MCC noise sources

The results in Table 2 show that, under the operational and meteorological conditions at the time, noise from MCC did not exceed the relevant noise criteria at any time or location during the monitoring period.



The data from the mine operated weather station showed that the atmospheric conditions were in compliance for the entire monitoring period at all locations.

Mine noise was audible and measureable at monitoring Locations R25 and R32. The mine noise was at relatively low levels and was audible mainly during breaks in traffic noise. The main sources of mine noise at Location R25 were mine hum and engine revs. At Location R32 the mine noise was attributable to mine hum and noise from the CHPP.

Data from those times where MCC operations were audible were analysed using the "Evaluator" software. This analysis showed the noise did not contain any tonal or impulsive components as per definitions in the NPI.

The methodology for analysing the low frequency noise modifying factor correction in the NPI is shown in extract below.

Low-frequency noise	Measurement of source contribution C-weighted and A-weighted level and one-third octave measurements in the range 10– 160 Hz	Measure/assess source contribution C- and A-weighted Leq,T levels over same time period. Correction to be applied where the C minus A level is 15 dB or more and:  • where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by up to and including 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 2- dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A- weighted levels applies for the evening/night period • where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by more than 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 5-dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A- weighted levels applies for the evening/night period and a 2- dB(A) positive adjustment applies for the daytime period.	2 or 5 dB <sup>2</sup>	A difference of 15 dB or more between C- and A-weighted measurements identifies the potential for an unbalance spectrum and potential increased annoyance. The values in Table C2 are derived from Moorhouse (2011) for DEFRA fluctuating low-frequency noise criteria with corrections to reflect external assessment locations.
------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Table C2 : One-third octave low-frequency noise thresholds.

Hz/dB(Z)	One-th	nird octa	ave dB(	Z) Leq (	15 min)	thresho	ld level						
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
dB(Z)	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44

The correction applies to the mine noise component only. There are many sources of low frequency noise in the acoustic environment of each receiver area (including noise from road and rail traffic and from rail track works). In many cases the C minus A level is greater than 15dB due to these other noise sources. In most instances the screening criteria will be the one third octave analysis. Should the mine noise not comply with this then the C minus A analysis will be applied.





**Tables 3** and **4** show the low frequency noise analysis for the periods where the mine noise was able to be accurately isolated from the overall measurement during the monitoring at Locations R25 and R32 respectively.

					Tal	ble 3							
		Lo	w Frequ	iency N	oise An	alysis –	13 Nov	ember 2	2019				
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
R25 Sandy Ck Rd.	<41	41.1	43.5	36.2	36.5	34.2	33.8	33.7	34.1	33.9	33.8	31.1	31.7
dB(Z) Criterion	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44
Exceedance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

					Tal	ble 4							
		Lo	w Freqเ	iency N	oise An	alysis –	13 Nov	ember 2	2019				
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
R32 Muscle Ck Rd.	<43	43.6	42.2	33.3	37.3	35.4	34.8	35.6	33.8	32.8	32.0	31.4	30.6
dB(Z) Criterion	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44
Exceedance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The results in Tables 3 and 4 show that there is no requirement to apply a low frequency noise modifying factor correction to the measured noise levels at any of the noise monitoring locations.

In addition to the operational noise, the noise from MCC must not exceed **45 or 47 dB(A) L1 (1 min)** between the hours of 10 pm and 7 am (see Appendix I for details of noise criteria at various receiver locations). This is to minimise the potential for sleep disturbance as a result of individual loud noises from the mine.

The compliance measurement locations are different for each of the operational and sleep disturbance noise. That is, the sleep disturbance criterion is typically applicable at 1m from the facade of a bedroom window.

To avoid undue disturbance to residents the L1 (1 min) noise level from the operational measurements are used to show general compliance with the sleep disturbance criterion. That is, as the distance between the noise source and the operational noise monitoring location is significantly greater than the distance between the operational noise monitoring location and the sleep disturbance monitoring location (i.e. 1m from the facade of the house) there will be little variation in L1 (1 min) levels between the two monitoring locations.

It must be noted, however, that the sleep disturbance criterion is applicable at the outside of a bedroom window. As the internal layout of each residence is not known, to consider a worst case, the bedroom windows were assumed to be facing towards the mine.

As shown in Table 2, during the night time measurement circuit the L1 (1 min) noise from MCC did not exceed 45 dB(A) at any monitoring location.

At Location R25 the measured L1 (1 min) noise was attributable to haul truck engine revs. At Location R32 the L1 (1 min) was from noise from the CHPP.

**1** 



We trust this report fulfils your requirements at this time, however, should you require additional information or assistance please contact the undersigned on 4954 2276.

Yours faithfully,

**SPECTRUM ACOUSTICS PTY LIMITED** 

Author:

Review:

Ross Hodge
Acoustical Consultant

Neil Pennington
Acoustical Consultant



Appendix I

Noise criteria from Development Consent DA205/2002 (Locations as per Figure 1).

Location	Day	Evening	Night			
Location	L <sub>Aeq(15 minute)</sub>	L <sub>Aeq(15 minute)</sub>	L <sub>Aeq(15 minute)</sub>	L <sub>A1 (1 minute)</sub>		
R1, R2, R3, R4, R17, R26, R27, R28, R29, R30, R31, R32, R33, R34, R35, R37, R38, R39	35	35	35	45		
R5	36	36	36	45		
R7	38	38	38	45		
R11	39	39	39	45		
R12	39	39	39	45		
R13	41	41	41	45		
R14	38	38	38	45		
R15	37	37	37	45		
R16	36	36	36	45		
R17	35	35	35	45		
R18	45	38	37	47		
R20	45	38	37	47		
R21	37	37	37	45		
R22	39	39	39	45		
R23	39	39	39	45		
R24	40	40	40	45		
R25	42	42	42	45		
R36	38	38	38	45		
R40	42	42	42	45		
R41	42	42	42	45		
R42	40	40	40	45		

Note: All levels are in dB(A)

Note: Following further consultation with the community it has been identified that R11 is a stable complex, not a residence, so the criteria listed in the table above do not apply.





## Appendix II

### **Calibration Certificates**

# Brüel & Kjær -

Australian Calibration Laboratory
Suite 2, 6-10 Talavera Road, North Ryde NSW 2113, Australia
Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Calibration. Laboratory No. 1301

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No: CAU1800652

Page 1 of 10

CALIBRATION OF:

Sound Level Meter: Microphone: Preamplifier: Supplied Calibrator:

Bruel & Kjaer Bruel & Kjaer Bruel & Kjaer N/A

BE1712-22

4189 ZC-0032 N/A BZ7224 Version 4.6 Pattern Approval:

2250

Identification:

No: N/A PTB N/A

No: 2747794

No: 2733511

No: 15339

Instruction manual: CUSTOMER:

Software version:

Spectrum Acoustics Pty Ltd 30 Veronica Street Cardiff NSW 2285

#### CALIBRATION CONDITIONS:

Preconditioning: 4 hours at 23 °C

Environment conditions: see actual values in Environmental conditions sections

### SPECIFICATIONS:

The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC61672-1:2013 class 1. Procedures from IEC 61672-3:2013 were used to perform the periodic tests.

### PROCEDURE:

The measurements have been performed with the assistance of Brüel & Kjær Sound Level Meter Calibration System B&K 3630 with application software type 7763 (version 7.2 - DB: 7.20) and test procedure 2250-4189.

### RESULTS:

	Initial calibration	Calibration prior to repair/adjustment
х	Calibration without repair/adjustment	Calibration after repair/adjustment

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on the standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor k = 2 providing a level of confidence of approximately 95 %. The uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with EA-4/02 from elements originating from the standards, calibration method, effect of environmental conditions and any short time contribution from the device under calibration.

Certificate issued: 26/06/2018

Jan Rasmussen Approved signatory

Reproduction of the complete certificate is allowed. Part of the certificate may only be reproduced after written permission.



	h Ryde NSW 2113, Australia SO/IEC 17025 - Calibration. Laboratory N	lo. 1301			MON,D RECOON ACCREDITAT
CERTIFICATE OF	CALIBRATION	No.: CA	.U190018	15	Page 1 o
CALIBRATION OF					
Calibrator: Description: Identification: IEC Class: I	Brüel & Kjær Acoustical Calibrator N/A	4231		No: 2466354	
CUSTOMER:	Spectrum Acoustics Pty Ltd 30 Veronica Street Cardiff NSW 2285				
CALIBRATION CO Preconditioning: Environment conditions:	NDITIONS:  4 hours at 23 °C Air temperature: Air pressure:	23.8 100.5	°C		
PROCEDURE:	been calibrated in accordance ven performed with the assistance				
The measurements have be	calibration procedure 4231 Cor				
software Type 7794 using					
		227755			
RESULTS:				efore repair/adjustmen	ı
RESULTS:    Initial Calibration with the reported expanded uncale level of confidence of approximation and the reported expanded uncale level of confidence of approximation with the reported expanded uncale level of confidence of approximation with the reported expanded uncale level of confidence of approximation with the reported expanded uncale level of confidence of approximation with the reported expanded uncale level of confidence of approximation with the reported expanded uncale level of the reported expanded uncale level expanded uncale level of the reported expanded uncale level expanded uncale l	rithout repair/adjustment certainty is based on the standar proximately 95%. The uncertain from the standards, calibration is	d uncertainty	multiplied	ter repair/adjustment by a coverage factor & carried out in accordan	r = 2, providing ace with EA-4/02
RESULTS:    Initial Calibration   X   Recalibration w   The reported expanded uncale level of confidence of applications of the confidence	rithout repair/adjustment certainty is based on the standar proximately 95%. The uncertain from the standards, calibration is rator under calibration.	d uncertainty nty evaluation method, effec	multiplied has been of t of environ	ter repair/adjustment by a coverage factor & carried out in accordan	r = 2, providing ace with EA-4/02
RESULTS:    Initial Calibration	rithout repair/adjustment certainty is based on the standar proximately 95%. The uncertain from the standards, calibration is rator under calibration.	d uncertainty nty evaluation method, effec	multiplied has been of t of environ	ther repair/adjustment by a coverage factor & carried out in accordan amental conditions and	r = 2, providing ace with EA-4/02



## Appendix III

## Operational Details - 13 November 2019 (10.00 pm to Midnight)

For that period mining was carried out as follows;

- 209, 2 x D10 dozer, 5 x Hitachi 3500 trucks in S22 hauling waste to the RL135 dump in Pit 2
- 211, 2 x D10 and 2 x Hitachi 3500 trucks in Strip 21 hauling MBK waste to RL137 in Pit 1
- 323 on ROM crushing Lower Lewis coal. CHP running with 1 x CAT 777. No washing
- 2 x 777 watercarts, 1 x graders in Pit 1
- Drilling in Strip 22 RL 220

